```
0
00:00:00.485 --> 00:00:03.935
Well, it's two o'clock and the hearing is resuming.
00:00:05.915 --> 00:00:08.495
Uh, and we'll hear first from Ms.
00:00:08.515 --> 00:00:11.655
Hutton on behalf of the Harbor Master Humber.
3
00:00:12.625 --> 00:00:14.655
Thank you, sir. Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master.
00:00:15.395 --> 00:00:18.575
Um, sir, first if I could just address you again on the
00:00:18.855 --> 00:00:20.855
independence issue, if I can call it that.
6
00:00:21.475 --> 00:00:23.815
Uh, and then second, I'd just like to highlight a couple
7
00:00:23.815 --> 00:00:26.495
of the protective provisions which are particularly relevant
00:00:26.635 --> 00:00:28.255
to the issues we've been discussing.
00:00:29.875 --> 00:00:31.055
So as a matter of law,
10
00:00:31.595 --> 00:00:33.375
the Statutory Harbor Authority is independent,
11
00:00:33.375 --> 00:00:34.575
and we went through that yesterday,
12
00:00:34.675 --> 00:00:38.695
and it will be covered in, in the note, uh, to be
```

```
13
00:00:38.895 --> 00:00:40.855
provided by us and also by the applicant.
14
00:00:41.955 --> 00:00:44.215
The simple point, again, made yesterday is
00:00:44.215 --> 00:00:45.895
that the Statutory Harbor Authority acts
16
00:00:46.295 --> 00:00:47.655
contrary to its statute duties.
17
00:00:48.115 --> 00:00:52.375
It will be acting unlawfully in our submission.
18
00:00:52.395 --> 00:00:56.975
It is not open to the Secretary of State to reach a decision
19
00:00:57.795 --> 00:01:01.735
on the basis that it thinks that the SHA is not independent
20
00:01:01.885 --> 00:01:03.775
because it is, as a matter of fact,
00:01:06.365 --> 00:01:09.295
it's also not independent, uh, sorry, it's not, we say open
22
00:01:09.315 --> 00:01:10.895
to statutory, uh, STA of state
23
00:01:10.995 --> 00:01:12.055
to make a decision on the basis
24
00:01:12.055 --> 00:01:15.335
that the Statutory Harbor Authority will act unlawfully.
00:01:17.835 --> 00:01:20.095
And in that regard, I'd point the panel
26
00:01:20.155 --> 00:01:21.695
```

```
to the Port Marine Safety Code,
27
00:01:22.955 --> 00:01:27.535
and in particular, uh, paragraphs 1.3 and 1.4.
00:01:27.755 --> 00:01:30.575
So 1.3 says, Harbor authorities have a range of statutory
29
00:01:30.575 --> 00:01:33.175
and non statutory duties and powers ing
30
00:01:33.175 --> 00:01:35.655
to marine operations, uh,
31
00:01:35.915 --> 00:01:38.095
and then 1.4 for a Harbor Authority.
32
00:01:38.095 --> 00:01:40.095
These duties include a duty of care
33
00:01:40.115 --> 00:01:42.095
to those using the harbor, which means
34
00:01:42.095 --> 00:01:43.735
that they have an obligation to conserve
00:01:43.735 --> 00:01:47.015
and facilitate the safe use of the harbor, as well as a duty
36
00:01:47.015 --> 00:01:48.135
of care against lost caused
37
00:01:48.135 --> 00:01:50.495
by the Harbor Authority's negligence duties
38
00:01:50.595 --> 00:01:53.375
to ensure the safety of marine operations are matched
39
00:01:53.375 --> 00:01:56.415
with general and specific powers to enable the authority
```

```
40
00:01:56.435 --> 00:02:00.335
to discharge those duty, these duties, there are procedures
41
00:02:00.335 --> 00:02:02.095
for these to be changed where necessary.
42
00:02:04.225 --> 00:02:05.685
So that's the position, uh,
43
00:02:06.015 --> 00:02:08.125
under the legal regime, which currently exists.
44
00:02:09.945 --> 00:02:14.485
In any event, this draft DCO does give an
45
00:02:14.485 --> 00:02:18.925
additional layer of, um, uh,
46
00:02:19.235 --> 00:02:21.965
control to the S-S-A-S-H-A,
47
00:02:21.965 --> 00:02:24.085
and that's in the protective provisions
48
00:02:24.625 --> 00:02:26.285
in part one of Schedule four.
49
00:02:27.785 --> 00:02:32.365
And I'd, um, highlight in particular paragraph three,
50
00:02:33.575 --> 00:02:38.445
which effectively replaces the current, um, ability
51
00:02:38.625 --> 00:02:42.005
of the SHA to license works.
52
00:02:44.105 --> 00:02:48.765
So paragraph three, uh, is prior to the commence
53
00:02:48.765 --> 00:02:52.365
```

```
of development, um, the undertaken must submit
54
00:02:52.365 --> 00:02:55.085
to the statutory conservancy and navigation authority plans
00:02:55.085 --> 00:02:57.685
and sections of the title works, et cetera.
56
00:02:58.395 --> 00:02:59.925
It's then an approval process,
57
00:03:01.545 --> 00:03:04.165
and approval may be given subject
58
00:03:04.185 --> 00:03:08.805
to such reasonable requirements as the conservancy may make
59
00:03:08.825 --> 00:03:12.485
for the protection of trafficking or flow or the flow
60
00:03:12.505 --> 00:03:13.525
or regime of the river.
61
00:03:16.345 --> 00:03:18.525
So that's the first point, is
62
00:03:18.525 --> 00:03:20.005
that's in relation to construction.
63
00:03:20.395 --> 00:03:25.125
There's then paragraph 16, which is
64
00:03:25.125 --> 00:03:27.325
before commencing Marine commercial Operations,
65
00:03:27.505 --> 00:03:31.405
the undertake of a submit to the conservancy for approval
66
00:03:31.425 --> 00:03:34.085
of written statement of proposed safe operating procedures
```

```
67
00:03:34.425 --> 00:03:36.805
for access to an egress from the authorized development
68
00:03:36.905 --> 00:03:39.085
and must operate the authorized development only in
00:03:39.085 --> 00:03:42.365
accordance with such procedure as approved, including any
70
00:03:43.005 --> 00:03:44.805
approved alteration made from time to time.
71
00:03:45.705 --> 00:03:49.205
So that is the, the mechanism by which there is a, uh,
72
00:03:50.205 --> 00:03:51.805
a requirement on the, uh,
73
00:03:51.805 --> 00:03:53.565
it's obviously a protective provision for the SHA,
74
00:03:53.565 --> 00:03:55.885
but it's also a requirement on the SHA
75
00:03:56.305 --> 00:03:59.845
to look specifically at this development, uh,
76
00:03:59.985 --> 00:04:02.885
and to consider the operating procedures.
77
00:04:05.785 --> 00:04:08.765
And that is entirely consistent with the statutory regime
78
00:04:09.355 --> 00:04:13.165
currently in place, uh, which is why
00:04:14.385 --> 00:04:18.125
we say, or I say on behalf of the Harbor Master,
80
00:04:18.795 --> 00:04:21.005
```

```
there's no requirement for a permission
81
00:04:22.305 --> 00:04:25.165
to be granted under Section 1 54 of the Planning Act
82
00:04:25.355 --> 00:04:28.925
because this is not, uh, interfering
83
00:04:29.955 --> 00:04:32.085
with the powers of the Harbor Authority.
84
00:04:32.465 --> 00:04:37.085
So I hope that helps. Thank you,
85
00:05:23.835 --> 00:05:24.835
Mr. Hiland, if
86
00:05:24.835 --> 00:05:25.895
you'd like to make the points
87
00:05:25.895 --> 00:05:27.415
that you, you were going to make earlier.
88
00:05:28.835 --> 00:05:31.935
Thanks David Alvin for iot.
89
00:05:33.385 --> 00:05:37.425
I mean, I, I share the points of concern
90
00:05:37.425 --> 00:05:41.905
that have been expressed, uh, by DFDS and CLDN
91
00:05:42.005 --> 00:05:45.785
and indeed by Mr. Bradley about the mechanism for securing,
92
00:05:46.565 --> 00:05:51.145
um, uh, the, uh, DCO both operationally
93
00:05:51.165 --> 00:05:52.945
and in terms of protective provisions.
```

```
94
00:05:53.735 --> 00:05:55.345
I've already made clear
95
00:05:55.605 --> 00:05:58.365
and will address this further in writing our concerns about
96
00:05:58.365 --> 00:05:59.885
the environmental impact assessment.
97
00:06:00.745 --> 00:06:03.805
Uh, the problem with a, um, an emerging
98
00:06:04.145 --> 00:06:07.965
or, um, adaptive NRA
99
00:06:09.105 --> 00:06:13.965
is of course, the NRA is itself part of the ES,
100
00:06:14.825 --> 00:06:17.125
uh, it's of course part of Appendix 10
101
00:06:18.385 --> 00:06:21.205
and ties into the assessment of effects of construction
102
00:06:21.225 --> 00:06:24.845
and operations of the proposed development.
103
00:06:27.495 --> 00:06:30.085
We've already expressed our concerns about the failure
104
00:06:30.185 --> 00:06:33.325
to assess actually what is being proposed in terms
105
00:06:33.325 --> 00:06:35.565
of the design vessel, and I don't go over that again.
106
00:06:38.365 --> 00:06:42.425
Uh, we will address you on the law, um, uh, in due course.
107
00:06:43.695 --> 00:06:48.025
```

```
However, part of the ES pro, the EIA process,
108
00:06:48.965 --> 00:06:53.745
um, is to set mitigation as a result of the assessment
00:06:55.165 --> 00:07:00.105
and, uh, to allow consultation on the ES itself.
110
00:07:01.645 --> 00:07:03.785
Now, an adaptive NRA
111
00:07:04.645 --> 00:07:09.145
or a changing NRA is an amendment to the ES by definition,
112
00:07:10.885 --> 00:07:14.825
and I just raised the question without proffering a solution
113
00:07:14.825 --> 00:07:19.185
at this stage, that that would have to be allowed
114
00:07:19.205 --> 00:07:22.625
for consultation as additional information to be lawful.
115
00:07:24.345 --> 00:07:27.185
Secondly, simply leaving matters up
00:07:27.925 --> 00:07:31.265
to the statutory harbor authority
117
00:07:32.285 --> 00:07:35.545
is not clearly securing mitigation.
118
00:07:36.145 --> 00:07:37.825
A framework is necessary.
119
00:07:38.805 --> 00:07:42.185
And as with CLDN, I would suggest
120
00:07:42.185 --> 00:07:44.825
that an appropriate arbiter is the Secretary of State,
```

```
121
00:07:44.955 --> 00:07:48.625
given the importance to National Fuel security, at least
122
00:07:48.885 --> 00:07:50.865
of the IO OT operators.
123
00:07:53.085 --> 00:07:56.665
Uh, it's not enough as ABP seeks to do, to say, oh, well,
124
00:07:56.665 --> 00:07:59.385
you've got a lot of cases of multiple regimes.
125
00:07:59.385 --> 00:08:01.225
Well, that is true. Um,
126
00:08:01.685 --> 00:08:04.665
but you usually have greater clarity than the sort
127
00:08:04.665 --> 00:08:07.265
of position that we've got here,
128
00:08:07.505 --> 00:08:12.385
particularly if the NRA is going to evolve over time.
129
00:08:16.485 --> 00:08:18.905
If you are doing a, a, a waste facility,
130
00:08:19.395 --> 00:08:23.665
which in fact is my next job, um, you would expect
131
00:08:24.595 --> 00:08:29.425
regulation from the Environment Agency for a permit, uh,
132
00:08:29.445 --> 00:08:32.825
to go with that waste facility, you would expect
133
00:08:32.885 --> 00:08:34.585
to have some idea at least of
134
00:08:34.585 --> 00:08:36.625
```

```
what the proposed license conditions are.
135
00:08:37.405 --> 00:08:40.225
Uh, the EA has its own jurisdiction
00:08:40.405 --> 00:08:42.385
and it will normally issue a draft decision
137
00:08:42.405 --> 00:08:43.945
or at least a draft permit,
138
00:08:44.205 --> 00:08:45.625
so you know what you're dealing with.
139
00:08:46.485 --> 00:08:47.905
We aren't in that position here,
140
00:08:48.045 --> 00:08:51.785
and we cannot be in that position if we are having a
141
00:08:53.085 --> 00:08:54.205
changing NRA,
142
00:08:55.845 --> 00:08:59.005
assuming the consultation requirements can be complied with,
00:08:59.845 --> 00:09:03.645
bearing in mind that the EIA has to be appropriate
144
00:09:04.145 --> 00:09:05.965
before consent can be issued
145
00:09:06.305 --> 00:09:09.045
and must be taken into account in the issue of consent,
146
00:09:10.905 --> 00:09:14.565
it may be that the appropriate way to deal with this is
147
00:09:14.565 --> 00:09:17.405
to leave matters as they stand at the date of any decision.
```

```
148
00:09:17.465 --> 00:09:19.685
If the Secretary of State considers it appropriate
149
00:09:19.705 --> 00:09:20.805
to make the DCA
150
00:09:21.745 --> 00:09:24.765
and for variations to be applied for in future,
151
00:09:26.475 --> 00:09:27.605
it's difficult to see
152
00:09:27.665 --> 00:09:30.605
how you can secure compliance with the law.
153
00:09:32.195 --> 00:09:36.405
Otherwise, it would be possible
154
00:09:36.825 --> 00:09:40.805
to have a mechanism, uh, to, if you are going
155
00:09:40.805 --> 00:09:44.845
to have an adaptive mechanism for the NRA to change,
156
00:09:45.825 --> 00:09:49.445
to have a subsidiary effectively subsidiary consenting
00:09:49.445 --> 00:09:51.205
process within the DCO.
158
00:09:51.445 --> 00:09:53.645
Although this lies well outside the terms
159
00:09:53.645 --> 00:09:56.125
of the current draft, uh,
160
00:09:56.475 --> 00:09:58.565
whereby there would be additional consultation
161
00:09:58.905 --> 00:10:02.885
```

```
and third party adjudication, IE the Secretary of State,
162
00:10:06.555 --> 00:10:09.285
Because of course you can have subsequent,
00:10:09.905 --> 00:10:12.245
if you take the analogy with planning applications
164
00:10:12.245 --> 00:10:15.005
and the like, you can have subsequent application, EI, a
165
00:10:15.585 --> 00:10:17.765
Barker case Commissioner and the uk.
166
00:10:18.985 --> 00:10:21.645
But what I don't see is, uh, understand is
167
00:10:21.665 --> 00:10:25.325
how lawfully you can achieve that either without a variation
168
00:10:25.945 --> 00:10:29.085
or without some mechanism built into the DCO,
169
00:10:29.455 --> 00:10:33.565
which would allow this, uh, continuation of the NRA process
170
00:10:34.305 --> 00:10:35.765
and securing of mitigation.
171
00:10:39.265 --> 00:10:40.765
And, uh, just just
172
00:10:41.345 --> 00:10:46.045
before we get too interested in what seemed to be,
173
00:10:46.145 --> 00:10:48.565
uh, operational controls, of course, I need
174
00:10:48.565 --> 00:10:51.085
to reiterate the fact, which I'm sure you are well aware of,
```

```
175
00:10:51.395 --> 00:10:53.805
that we are not satisfied ourselves,
176
00:10:53.805 --> 00:10:56.845
that operational controls are themselves sufficient,
177
00:10:57.425 --> 00:10:59.605
and we're looking for physical measures as well.
178
00:11:03.595 --> 00:11:04.595
Thank you
179
00:11:08.775 --> 00:11:09.775
Mrs. Drawn
180
00:11:09.775 --> 00:11:10.555
for the applicant,
181
00:11:15.325 --> 00:11:16.595
James drawn for the applicant.
182
00:11:17.375 --> 00:11:20.195
So I'm gonna pick up on comments made
183
00:11:20.195 --> 00:11:23.515
before the adjournment as well, so I,
184
00:11:23.775 --> 00:11:27.515
it won't respond directly to the points in, uh, I'll,
185
00:11:27.515 --> 00:11:28.915
I'll try and take them all together.
186
00:11:29.075 --> 00:11:33.035
I may the, the first of all, so far as the
187
00:11:33.575 --> 00:11:36.715
law is concerned, I agree entirely
188
00:11:36.715 --> 00:11:38.955
```

```
with the legal submissions made by Ms.
189
00:11:38.975 --> 00:11:43.155
Hutton and it chimes with the
190
00:11:44.175 --> 00:11:47.035
points I've already made to you that
191
00:11:47.805 --> 00:11:52.075
there is a basic flaw in the
192
00:11:52.675 --> 00:11:57.125
approach, which is premised on the notion that the
193
00:11:57.915 --> 00:11:59.845
statutory harbor authorities
194
00:12:00.515 --> 00:12:03.605
will do anything other than exercise their duties
195
00:12:03.785 --> 00:12:04.845
in accordance with the law.
196
00:12:05.905 --> 00:12:07.445
But I won't repeat those submissions,
197
00:12:08.625 --> 00:12:10.285
but going back then in,
198
00:12:10.985 --> 00:12:13.725
before we deal with the questions of independence,
199
00:12:13.725 --> 00:12:15.805
going back to the question of the NRA,
200
00:12:15.865 --> 00:12:18.845
and I think the way this originally started was,
201
00:12:18.985 --> 00:12:21.285
is there a need for the NRA to be
```

```
202
00:12:22.155 --> 00:12:24.285
reflected in requirement 15?
203
00:12:24.465 --> 00:12:26.885
You recall? That's how the conversation started.
00:12:28.755 --> 00:12:32.005
Just to be clear, the applicant has no,
205
00:12:32.145 --> 00:12:36.685
and never has any fundamental objection to the NRA
206
00:12:37.295 --> 00:12:41.685
being reflected or referred to in requirement 15.
207
00:12:41.945 --> 00:12:44.445
Indeed, we put forward the DCO on that basis.
208
00:12:47.025 --> 00:12:51.085
The examining authority asked us to reflect on that as to
209
00:12:51.155 --> 00:12:52.845
what it added.
210
00:12:54.425 --> 00:12:57.285
And on reflection as you asked us,
211
00:12:57.585 --> 00:12:59.205
we didn't think it did add,
212
00:13:00.505 --> 00:13:02.445
and there are two basic reasons for that.
213
00:13:04.105 --> 00:13:06.445
The first is, as I've already pointed out,
214
00:13:07.185 --> 00:13:11.085
the NRA forms part of the environmental statement
215
00:13:12.545 --> 00:13:15.925
```

```
and is a document for certification as such.
216
00:13:21.185 --> 00:13:25.365
And the second is that the, whilst we're content
00:13:25.365 --> 00:13:28.405
for the NRA to be incorporated in the way we suggested
218
00:13:28.785 --> 00:13:30.405
or not, or left as it is
219
00:13:30.425 --> 00:13:33.245
as the certified document under the environmental statement,
220
00:13:34.225 --> 00:13:37.925
as a matter of principle, what it's identifying in relation
221
00:13:37.985 --> 00:13:42.645
to the measures that will be used are ones
222
00:13:42.995 --> 00:13:45.725
that are secured through the other
223
00:13:47.125 --> 00:13:49.365
regulatory regime that we've identified,
00:13:51.155 --> 00:13:52.765
without repeating all of those points,
225
00:13:52.905 --> 00:13:57.845
but the way the births will be operated, et cetera.
226
00:14:00.745 --> 00:14:02.605
So I just want to be clear,
227
00:14:02.605 --> 00:14:04.405
we haven't got a fundamental objection either way,
228
00:14:04.405 --> 00:14:06.725
which you asked us to consider the point we've done it,
```

```
229
00:14:06.725 --> 00:14:08.005
and as a matter of principle,
230
00:14:08.495 --> 00:14:10.125
where it's reflected in that way.
00:14:10.135 --> 00:14:11.965
There isn't actually a reflect, a need
232
00:14:11.985 --> 00:14:16.845
to specify it again in requirement 15, that
233
00:14:17.365 --> 00:14:22.245
I would point out is consistent with all
234
00:14:23.465 --> 00:14:26.725
the other DCOS stroke approvals that
235
00:14:28.665 --> 00:14:29.725
I'm aware of currently.
236
00:14:29.725 --> 00:14:32.205
Others may know of more, I don't know except
237
00:14:32.265 --> 00:14:35.045
for Tilbury two, where it was
238
00:14:35.595 --> 00:14:39.045
reflected in requirement in a specific requirement.
239
00:14:41.745 --> 00:14:45.645
And in that respect, it's probably important to,
240
00:14:46.345 --> 00:14:48.005
rather than get hung up on
241
00:14:48.675 --> 00:14:50.365
whether something's done in one document
242
00:14:50.365 --> 00:14:52.525
```

```
or another, just to reflect on the substance
243
00:14:52.525 --> 00:14:56.525
of what's going on in the Tilbury two NRA,
00:14:56.525 --> 00:15:01.005
which I've looked at over the lunch in German, uh,
245
00:15:01.005 --> 00:15:03.965
suggest if, if I can bear on the patience
246
00:15:03.965 --> 00:15:05.845
of the exam authority, they might want to do the same.
247
00:15:06.545 --> 00:15:10.925
But the NRA for TILBURY two are very much shorter document,
248
00:15:11.815 --> 00:15:14.965
which may help inform some of the criticisms
249
00:15:15.115 --> 00:15:18.085
that are now being advanced against our much
250
00:15:18.085 --> 00:15:19.245
more comprehensive NRA.
00:15:19.245 --> 00:15:20.245
But leave that aside.
252
00:15:21.025 --> 00:15:25.285
The NRA in question was identifying at the outset,
253
00:15:25.665 --> 00:15:29.485
the continuation of operational controls
254
00:15:29.715 --> 00:15:31.685
that would be exercised by the
255
00:15:32.325 --> 00:15:36.845
relevant statutory harbor authority as a given.
```

```
256
00:15:42.305 --> 00:15:45.965
And the NRA then went on to look at
257
00:15:48.175 --> 00:15:52.785
some risks and potential design responses
00:15:53.385 --> 00:15:54.905
IE to the physical infrastructure.
259
00:15:59.525 --> 00:16:03.785
And you'll see further that it is
260
00:16:04.375 --> 00:16:08.225
presupposes further simulation to be done
261
00:16:09.435 --> 00:16:13.105
after the hazard assessment to inform future design
262
00:16:14.285 --> 00:16:17.505
detail in the implementation of the DCO.
263
00:16:21.405 --> 00:16:24.225
So specifying, which still be two, seems
264
00:16:24.225 --> 00:16:26.825
to be an exception rather than the rule specifying
00:16:27.805 --> 00:16:31.145
the requirement to observe the NRA in that case
266
00:16:31.885 --> 00:16:33.985
may well have been because it was referring
267
00:16:33.985 --> 00:16:37.625
to design changes that have been identified.
268
00:16:37.985 --> 00:16:41.505
Physical design changes insofar as it was dealing
269
00:16:41.505 --> 00:16:44.865
```

```
with operational controls, it didn't specify them.
270
00:16:45.085 --> 00:16:46.505
And indeed it's consistent
271
00:16:46.505 --> 00:16:48.585
with our approach that it wouldn't have done.
272
00:16:49.085 --> 00:16:52.385
And so dcos, like the able DCO
273
00:16:52.385 --> 00:16:55.345
to which you've been referred other, uh, no doubt,
274
00:16:55.345 --> 00:16:56.585
wind farms and
275
00:16:56.585 --> 00:16:59.825
of course harbor revision orders wouldn't seek to specify
276
00:17:01.525 --> 00:17:04.385
in nras operational controls of that kind
277
00:17:04.385 --> 00:17:06.785
because of that separate regulatory regime.
278
00:17:09.925 --> 00:17:12.345
So it is important to look at the substance of these things,
279
00:17:12.805 --> 00:17:14.385
but I, I come back to the point
280
00:17:15.095 --> 00:17:18.305
that we have no fundamental objection, of course, in
281
00:17:19.685 --> 00:17:21.545
the NRA we produced
282
00:17:21.725 --> 00:17:24.985
and the principles, it's expressing being reflected on the
```

```
283
00:17:24.985 --> 00:17:27.945
face of the order, uh, by referring to the NRA.
284
00:17:31.175 --> 00:17:34.985
That is a different
00:17:35.735 --> 00:17:38.865
proposition, if I can put it that way, to
286
00:17:40.045 --> 00:17:43.105
the conflated submissions with the question, or sorry,
287
00:17:43.105 --> 00:17:45.665
before I deal with those, the question of, uh, independence,
288
00:17:45.685 --> 00:17:46.705
can I just deal with Mr.
289
00:17:47.155 --> 00:17:51.285
vin's? Uh, question about a adaptive NRA,
290
00:17:53.225 --> 00:17:57.045
The, to be clear, we have carried out
291
00:17:58.205 --> 00:18:01.765
a an NRA for the purposes
00:18:01.985 --> 00:18:05.565
of the environmental statement to assess the effects on
293
00:18:06.575 --> 00:18:11.205
navigation, which
294
00:18:12.585 --> 00:18:15.245
has been carried out by independent consultants,
295
00:18:17.105 --> 00:18:21.245
the conclusions of which have been endorsed by the HAS board
296
00:18:21.985 --> 00:18:26.205
```

```
and which we maintain properly assesses the relevant risks.
297
00:18:28.065 --> 00:18:30.205
The examining authority in the course
00:18:30.225 --> 00:18:32.805
of the examination has identified
299
00:18:33.035 --> 00:18:35.925
that others have come forward with their own
300
00:18:36.595 --> 00:18:38.845
nras in the examination process.
301
00:18:39.745 --> 00:18:41.325
And you've seen those two others.
302
00:18:41.585 --> 00:18:44.245
We disagree with the outputs,
303
00:18:45.145 --> 00:18:47.605
but there has been further information brought
304
00:18:47.605 --> 00:18:49.165
during the course of the examination
305
00:18:49.905 --> 00:18:54.085
and the examining authority has observed as to the
306
00:18:55.385 --> 00:18:57.445
merits, or I dunno if they put it quite in that way,
307
00:18:57.445 --> 00:19:00.605
but the, the observation about the has board
308
00:19:02.155 --> 00:19:05.805
reviewing all the material that then emerges
309
00:19:06.555 --> 00:19:08.205
through a process such as this.
```

```
310
00:19:08.345 --> 00:19:11.285
And we've indicated in principle we're content to do that.
311
00:19:11.395 --> 00:19:13.645
I've already, uh, made that clear,
00:19:17.665 --> 00:19:22.125
but that is not, uh, if, if MR suggesting
313
00:19:23.805 --> 00:19:26.965
a, an adaptive NRA is the way he put it,
314
00:19:27.545 --> 00:19:31.125
but part of a responsible approach
315
00:19:31.265 --> 00:19:34.205
to the underlying duty in the Port Marine Safety Code,
316
00:19:34.935 --> 00:19:37.125
where there is an ongoing duty regardless
317
00:19:37.185 --> 00:19:40.605
of environmental impact assessment, which is we satisfy
318
00:19:40.785 --> 00:19:42.245
for the purpose of bringing the application,
319
00:19:43.065 --> 00:19:47.325
but an ongoing duty on the duty holder, which will apply
320
00:19:48.325 --> 00:19:52.045
regardless of the outcome of this case, that in the future,
321
00:19:52.345 --> 00:19:55.845
but in light of information that's, that's been identified,
322
00:19:56.505 --> 00:19:59.925
the a willingness to continue to review
323
00:20:01.715 --> 00:20:05.005
```

```
Others' views of the risk
324
00:20:05.705 --> 00:20:08.725
and come to a a, a, an informed decision.
00:20:09.745 --> 00:20:12.085
And that should not be confused with the notion
326
00:20:12.085 --> 00:20:14.325
that there is some inherent defect
327
00:20:14.865 --> 00:20:18.965
in the environmental statement, which did that as compared
328
00:20:18.995 --> 00:20:22.005
with the assimilation of further information
329
00:20:22.025 --> 00:20:25.405
as it's referred to under the EIA regulations,
330
00:20:26.975 --> 00:20:28.925
Which will inform the Secretary of State,
331
00:20:29.145 --> 00:20:32.485
but as a prior step is going to inform the HAS board.
00:20:32.625 --> 00:20:34.925
And I can't speak to what the HAS board's
333
00:20:35.495 --> 00:20:38.405
views will be on the further information,
334
00:20:38.405 --> 00:20:41.685
because that's the basic principle that they should be able
335
00:20:41.705 --> 00:20:45.925
to express those views informed by the relevant information.
336
00:20:46.305 --> 00:20:48.965
But the fact that they're getting prepared to do that
```

```
337
00:20:49.785 --> 00:20:54.205
is actually, um, indicative of their performance
338
00:20:54.205 --> 00:20:56.485
of their Port Marine Safety Code obligations.
00:20:57.865 --> 00:20:59.525
Not a recognition
340
00:20:59.525 --> 00:21:02.565
that there's some inherent defect in the NRA itself,
341
00:21:02.905 --> 00:21:05.965
but rather a recognition that people have come along
342
00:21:05.965 --> 00:21:08.565
with more information they want the has board to consider.
343
00:21:08.865 --> 00:21:10.845
And we're acting on the examining authorities'
344
00:21:11.435 --> 00:21:12.645
impetus in that respect.
345
00:21:13.665 --> 00:21:16.605
So I don't accept for a moment I make that clear
00:21:16.875 --> 00:21:20.765
that this is a new NRA for EIA purposes
347
00:21:21.225 --> 00:21:23.365
or a new document for, in that sense,
348
00:21:24.155 --> 00:21:26.605
it's supplementary information which is going to be
349
00:21:27.205 --> 00:21:28.805
properly considered, and then
350
00:21:29.565 --> 00:21:33.125
```

```
whatever conclusions reached will will inform the, um,
351
00:21:34.625 --> 00:21:35.725
the examining authority.
00:21:38.025 --> 00:21:42.125
So there is no EIA regulation issue at all,
353
00:21:42.985 --> 00:21:45.925
and that is, again, seeking to do precisely what
354
00:21:46.955 --> 00:21:49.165
Lord Justice Sullivan identified as the
355
00:21:49.885 --> 00:21:52.565
treating the environmental impact assessment as a,
356
00:21:52.905 --> 00:21:56.765
as an obstacle course rather than a, a, a process
357
00:21:56.905 --> 00:21:59.725
of assimilating information, getting other people's views on
358
00:21:59.725 --> 00:22:03.165
that information and enabling a fully informed decision.
00:22:03.505 --> 00:22:04.925
The two things are very distinct,
360
00:22:05.025 --> 00:22:08.045
and it's unfortunate that it's been put in the way of, of,
361
00:22:08.065 --> 00:22:09.645
um, informed decision making.
362
00:22:10.985 --> 00:22:14.965
Can I then just deal briefly with this idea of the Secretary
363
00:22:14.965 --> 00:22:19.245
of State becoming the decision maker in relation
```

```
364
00:22:19.405 --> 00:22:21.005
to Harbor Authority matters?
365
00:22:22.335 --> 00:22:27.045
First of all, that notion is posited on the false premise
00:22:27.145 --> 00:22:29.725
of identified earlier as a matter of law which Ms.
367
00:22:29.725 --> 00:22:30.725
Hutton's spoken to.
368
00:22:31.665 --> 00:22:32.965
It does simply doesn't arise
369
00:22:32.985 --> 00:22:37.765
unless you presuppose some, uh, lack of independence
370
00:22:37.765 --> 00:22:40.405
or in conflict of interest, which simply doesn't exist.
371
00:22:42.165 --> 00:22:47.005
Secondly, I, I as a, as, as a lawyer, fundamentally
372
00:22:48.225 --> 00:22:51.965
reject the notion that there's any proper legal foundation
373
00:22:52.185 --> 00:22:53.845
for such a submission that's been put
374
00:22:53.845 --> 00:22:56.205
before this examining authority
375
00:22:56.625 --> 00:22:59.885
to question the Harbor Master's independence
376
00:23:00.705 --> 00:23:04.085
or any part of the statutory Harbor authorities independence
377
00:23:04.145 --> 00:23:06.525
```

```
in the exercise of their statutory functions.
378
00:23:08.305 --> 00:23:10.125
And Mr.
379
00:23:10.215 --> 00:23:13.885
Elvin recognizes that there are lots of other, uh,
380
00:23:14.855 --> 00:23:19.245
situations where one body has different functions,
381
00:23:19.245 --> 00:23:20.805
which is obviously the case,
382
00:23:22.265 --> 00:23:27.005
and it does not affected by the significance
383
00:23:27.625 --> 00:23:30.725
to the, in this case, IO OT operators of their interests.
384
00:23:31.225 --> 00:23:34.645
And it can't be any more than a,
385
00:23:34.845 --> 00:23:38.605
a local authority applying for permission to build a school
00:23:39.385 --> 00:23:42.445
and seeking approval of the access which involves the safety
387
00:23:42.505 --> 00:23:46.325
of children accessing the school, which would be of a matter
388
00:23:46.325 --> 00:23:51.125
of significant public concern that anymore one would suggest
389
00:23:51.125 --> 00:23:54.365
that the local highway authority in approving the school's
390
00:23:54.945 --> 00:23:59.445
pro uh, decision would somehow allow a conflict of interest
```

```
391
00:23:59.545 --> 00:24:02.885
to compromise the integrity of their decision making
392
00:24:03.465 --> 00:24:07.925
on the safety of that design for safe operation by children.
00:24:09.345 --> 00:24:13.965
The different nature of it being oil versus safety
394
00:24:14.065 --> 00:24:17.445
of children doesn't and can't affect the legal principles.
395
00:24:18.305 --> 00:24:20.165
So it's an unprecedented,
396
00:24:21.205 --> 00:24:23.605
evidenced an unprincipled objection
397
00:24:23.985 --> 00:24:26.325
to the basics statutory separation.
398
00:24:28.145 --> 00:24:31.165
It therefore doesn't require a different decision maker.
399
00:24:32.545 --> 00:24:36.685
And in principle, it would be an extraordinary step,
400
00:24:37.545 --> 00:24:40.085
not, I assume welcome by the S of state,
401
00:24:40.705 --> 00:24:42.885
but you just have to think of the implications
402
00:24:43.075 --> 00:24:46.845
that the sector state was somehow better placed
403
00:24:47.385 --> 00:24:50.165
to make the ultimate decision on the safety of the harbor
404
00:24:50.955 --> 00:24:54.485
```

```
than the harbor master with his years of experience
405
00:24:54.485 --> 00:24:57.285
and ability to draw on all of those who work
00:24:57.345 --> 00:25:00.725
for him in terms of pilotage, his knowledge
407
00:25:00.725 --> 00:25:04.285
of all the different types of ships that operate, which
408
00:25:04.285 --> 00:25:06.885
of course is different to the individual operators.
409
00:25:07.345 --> 00:25:09.445
The IOT operate tankers,
410
00:25:10.565 --> 00:25:13.125
DFDS operate ro the Harbor Master,
411
00:25:13.345 --> 00:25:16.965
and those who work under him, uh, have the experience
412
00:25:17.205 --> 00:25:18.885
of controlling, operating
413
00:25:18.905 --> 00:25:22.965
and, um, overseeing the safety of the harbor for all
414
00:25:22.965 --> 00:25:24.885
of those vessels in the way they operate.
415
00:25:26.305 --> 00:25:27.725
But to suggest that the Secretary
416
00:25:27.725 --> 00:25:29.605
of State becomes the arbiter of that sort
417
00:25:29.605 --> 00:25:33.045
of decision making, uh, is, is a bizarre concept.
```

```
418
00:25:35.765 --> 00:25:39.545
But of course, there's a further principle
419
00:25:39.545 --> 00:25:41.905
that the Secretary State would then be taking on the
420
00:25:42.145 --> 00:25:45.265
functions of the duty holder under the Port Marine Safety
421
00:25:45.415 --> 00:25:49.305
Code, and no doubt consequently the liabilities.
422
00:25:52.405 --> 00:25:56.545
And that's an even more bizarre proposition in this context.
423
00:25:58.405 --> 00:26:01.465
The statutory Harbor authorities not only are vested
424
00:26:01.465 --> 00:26:05.705
with exercising those functions responsibly, independently
425
00:26:06.245 --> 00:26:07.585
and safely, but
426
00:26:07.585 --> 00:26:09.985
of course they have liabilities which flow from
427
00:26:10.295 --> 00:26:11.705
that responsibility.
428
00:26:13.205 --> 00:26:17.745
And another incentive if one would not needed any greater
429
00:26:17.745 --> 00:26:19.945
incentive to act, uh, responsibly and safely.
430
00:26:20.365 --> 00:26:22.425
But to transfer that, uh,
431
00:26:22.425 --> 00:26:25.785
```

```
onto the Secretary State in circumstances which simply
432
00:26:26.605 --> 00:26:28.105
not warranted in any shape
433
00:26:28.105 --> 00:26:31.025
or form, uh, again, is a bizarre proposition.
434
00:26:32.925 --> 00:26:37.305
So for those reasons, uh, sir, we, we submit that the
435
00:26:38.185 --> 00:26:42.465
ordinary operation, uh, in accordance with the law
436
00:26:44.395 --> 00:26:47.285
does not merit this notion of
437
00:26:47.945 --> 00:26:50.725
in creating some different orbit of, in relation
438
00:26:50.725 --> 00:26:53.965
to statutory Harbor authority functions in relation to
439
00:26:54.745 --> 00:26:56.445
the safe operation of this harbor.
440
00:27:20.835 --> 00:27:22.065
Thank you, Mr. Tron. Um,
441
00:27:22.085 --> 00:27:26.545
before passing to the ips, uh, an observation here is that
442
00:27:28.245 --> 00:27:31.185
whilst cogent in respect to navigational safety
443
00:27:31.325 --> 00:27:36.265
and the safety of, of, of like the operation of the, uh,
444
00:27:36.265 --> 00:27:40.745
harbor and port, um, that proposition is in
```

```
445
00:27:41.565 --> 00:27:45.585
itself, uh, that you make in itself, uh, coherent.
446
00:27:45.585 --> 00:27:48.185
What it doesn't do is address the point that, uh, the safety
447
00:27:48.405 --> 00:27:53.265
of the oil terminal is not within the,
448
00:27:54.005 --> 00:27:58.305
uh, jurisdiction of the, uh, of the SHA.
449
00:28:00.325 --> 00:28:01.385
And so it's a different,
450
00:28:01.575 --> 00:28:03.705
it's a different safety re regime regime in other words.
451
00:28:03.765 --> 00:28:05.745
And that I think may be where we need
452
00:28:05.745 --> 00:28:10.065
to explore a little bit more, that is there a gap here
453
00:28:10.065 --> 00:28:12.105
that we need to be addressing in this DCO
454
00:28:14.555 --> 00:28:15.785
James Strong for the applicant?
455
00:28:15.785 --> 00:28:20.705
Thank you, sir. The, the, my understanding of in relation to
456
00:28:20.705 --> 00:28:23.505
that question is that the safety
457
00:28:23.965 --> 00:28:26.665
of the oil terminal is within the jurisdiction
458
00:28:26.665 --> 00:28:28.225
```

```
of the statutory Harbor authority,
459
00:28:28.745 --> 00:28:33.105
particularly since it's regulating the vessel traffic
00:28:33.725 --> 00:28:37.425
in, in and around the oil terminal, and is
461
00:28:37.425 --> 00:28:39.985
therefore responsible for that traffic.
462
00:28:41.645 --> 00:28:46.225
If, if the questions related to, for example, the oil
463
00:28:46.615 --> 00:28:50.185
that in relation to coma regulations, then
464
00:28:50.185 --> 00:28:54.465
of course the HSE remains the overseer in relation
465
00:28:54.465 --> 00:28:55.985
to regulation of that kind.
466
00:28:56.765 --> 00:29:01.105
Uh, and that covers their jurisdiction in relation
467
00:29:01.125 --> 00:29:03.385
to particularly the landside aspects.
468
00:29:04.125 --> 00:29:05.905
And so there is an overlap,
469
00:29:05.905 --> 00:29:09.625
but the HSE has already made its position clear in my
470
00:29:09.625 --> 00:29:11.785
submission as to the marine side
471
00:29:11.805 --> 00:29:13.865
and the regulation of traffic in
```

```
472
00:29:13.905 --> 00:29:18.505
and around the oil terminal, which could conflict
473
00:29:18.725 --> 00:29:23.465
and indeed allied not just our tr our proposed
474
00:29:23.465 --> 00:29:25.705
traffic, but any proposed traffic that is
475
00:29:26.005 --> 00:29:27.465
and remains the responsibility
476
00:29:27.485 --> 00:29:28.905
of the Statuary Harbor authority.
477
00:29:29.645 --> 00:29:33.785
And hence why the Harbor master, he can speak for himself,
478
00:29:33.805 --> 00:29:35.465
but has already made it clear
479
00:29:35.735 --> 00:29:40.665
that he's acutely conscious in making his judgements of
480
00:29:40.695 --> 00:29:41.925
that responsibility
481
00:29:42.785 --> 00:29:46.365
and hence his, his views in relation to simulations
482
00:29:46.365 --> 00:29:49.765
and the way he would approach ensuring the safety of,
483
00:29:49.865 --> 00:29:52.685
of the old terminal, as well as its continued operation,
484
00:29:52.685 --> 00:29:53.805
which is a slightly different issue.
485
00:29:53.825 --> 00:29:58.485
```

```
So I, in my submission, they are the two are the,
486
00:29:58.555 --> 00:30:00.685
there's no difference in what I said earlier
487
00:30:00.945 --> 00:30:01.965
to that principle.
488
00:30:02.635 --> 00:30:05.725
Perhaps you can help with our consideration
489
00:30:05.725 --> 00:30:10.565
that the HSE has opined on land use planning matters,
490
00:30:11.705 --> 00:30:14.685
but as far as I can see in the evidence, so far,
491
00:30:14.705 --> 00:30:19.005
the HSE has not been involved in looking at, uh,
492
00:30:19.145 --> 00:30:21.765
safety issues of the infrastructure.
493
00:30:24.185 --> 00:30:28.005
In other words, the, the, the, the trunk way
00:30:28.265 --> 00:30:29.485
and the, uh, PI
495
00:30:30.295 --> 00:30:31.485
James drawn for the applicant.
496
00:30:31.485 --> 00:30:33.485
Yes, sir. And that, and that, that is consistent with
497
00:30:33.515 --> 00:30:37.845
what has happened in, in all other cases, as I,
498
00:30:37.945 --> 00:30:39.805
as I think we've referred to previously
```

```
499
00:30:40.465 --> 00:30:42.805
and indeed navigational risk assessments
500
00:30:42.905 --> 00:30:47.565
and the way they're approached, that the NNRA
501
00:30:48.275 --> 00:30:52.005
process, uh, has risk matrices, et cetera.
502
00:30:52.315 --> 00:30:56.805
They're not the same as those adopted by the HSC,
503
00:30:57.135 --> 00:30:59.445
which is dealing with landside
504
00:30:59.545 --> 00:31:02.765
and in particular, population effects
505
00:31:03.695 --> 00:31:05.845
based on statistical processes.
506
00:31:06.785 --> 00:31:09.565
The obvious example, you've seen it in operation,
507
00:31:09.625 --> 00:31:13.965
but for example, gas, uh, storage, in this case,
508
00:31:14.065 --> 00:31:17.365
oil storage, where calculations can be done as
509
00:31:17.365 --> 00:31:21.645
to the effects of catastrophic events.
510
00:31:22.345 --> 00:31:25.445
But the risk factor the HSE is concerned
511
00:31:25.445 --> 00:31:27.245
with in those respects are
512
00:31:28.645 --> 00:31:30.085
```

```
multiple loss of life, for example.
513
00:31:30.265 --> 00:31:33.605
And therefore, there's a risk matrices approach of
00:31:33.665 --> 00:31:37.725
how long people can be present in concentrations
515
00:31:38.025 --> 00:31:39.365
by reference to proximity.
516
00:31:39.905 --> 00:31:43.005
And that's not the same principles save, of course,
517
00:31:43.035 --> 00:31:45.605
that they have expressed views about passengers
518
00:31:46.225 --> 00:31:47.685
and that you hence the restriction on
519
00:31:47.685 --> 00:31:49.805
passengers, uh, onshore
520
00:31:50.385 --> 00:31:51.385
In indeed.
521
00:31:51.465 --> 00:31:52.725
Um, the, the,
522
00:31:52.725 --> 00:31:55.965
the point in question though is, let's put it another way.
523
00:31:56.145 --> 00:31:59.365
Uh, is the, uh, IO OT trunk way
524
00:31:59.425 --> 00:32:03.165
and its piers, uh, landside or marine,
525
00:32:07.445 --> 00:32:11.225
The trunk way, I, I'm pretty sure they're, they're,
```

```
526
00:32:11.225 --> 00:32:13.345
they're marine, but I'm, I'm correct.
527
00:32:17.965 --> 00:32:21.905
And in, so in so doing the HSE has so far not,
528
00:32:22.285 --> 00:32:23.385
um, taken a position
529
00:32:26.555 --> 00:32:27.705
James form for the applicant.
530
00:32:27.805 --> 00:32:32.265
Yes, they, they have not taken a position on the
531
00:32:34.085 --> 00:32:37.225
marine navigational risk assessment, but
532
00:32:37.245 --> 00:32:40.965
nor would they, I mean, as in that is remains the function
533
00:32:41.665 --> 00:32:45.605
of the statutory Harbor authorities to assess
534
00:32:46.325 --> 00:32:47.605
navigational risk.
00:32:48.425 --> 00:32:51.885
And so that
536
00:32:52.185 --> 00:32:54.405
that's not just common to consideration
537
00:32:54.405 --> 00:32:56.045
of this proposed development,
538
00:32:56.545 --> 00:32:59.565
but common to the operation of the IO ot.
539
00:33:00.745 --> 00:33:03.205
```

```
Um, sorry, in case I've got anything wrong, I'm please,
540
00:33:04.305 --> 00:33:05.765
if I do, then someone will tell me,
00:33:05.865 --> 00:33:10.085
but that's common to the continued operation of the IO ot,
542
00:33:10.265 --> 00:33:14.045
the continued application of controls in
543
00:33:14.185 --> 00:33:18.965
and around the IO ot, then what's acceptable, for example,
544
00:33:19.525 --> 00:33:23.285
allowing priority to, uh, oil vessels
545
00:33:23.705 --> 00:33:24.725
and their birthing.
546
00:33:25.225 --> 00:33:27.565
So yes, you are right, yes, sir,
547
00:33:27.565 --> 00:33:30.645
that the HSE doesn't express views on that,
00:33:30.985 --> 00:33:32.565
but then they never do
549
00:33:32.585 --> 00:33:35.165
and wouldn't be expected to under their responsibilities.
550
00:33:35.225 --> 00:33:36.525
But the SHA does,
551
00:33:37.955 --> 00:33:40.765
Just on a fact, on that matter, I'm gonna ask just on, I,
552
00:33:40.965 --> 00:33:42.685
I was going to intervene, David Elley.
```

```
553
00:33:42.685 --> 00:33:44.525
That's right. But I'll put the question first.
554
00:33:44.635 --> 00:33:46.005
Yeah, please. Um,
00:33:46.595 --> 00:33:47.845
because we might want
556
00:33:47.845 --> 00:33:49.325
to ask the Harvard Master something first
557
00:33:49.325 --> 00:33:52.205
before we come to whatever I've, in terms
558
00:33:52.345 --> 00:33:56.485
of the actual Como site, what is the boundary
559
00:33:57.125 --> 00:33:58.365
Includes the trunk way,
560
00:33:59.785 --> 00:34:01.525
So, but so Trunk way,
561
00:34:01.665 --> 00:34:05.565
and does it then also include, include the finger P and
00:34:05.885 --> 00:34:06.885
I didn't think so. I think
563
00:34:06.885 --> 00:34:10.325
it's the trunk way. Oh, it does. I,
564
00:34:11.015 --> 00:34:12.765
Sorry, sorry, Sorry, Mr. Strong.
00:34:12.765 --> 00:34:13.765
Can I just Yeah, of
566
00:34:13.765 --> 00:34:14.245
```

```
Course. Get
567
00:34:14.245 --> 00:34:15.205
Instructions. Yeah.
568
00:34:16.165 --> 00:34:18.485
I think this becomes quite an important point. Yes.
569
00:34:18.985 --> 00:34:20.525
Yes. Coleman covers all,
570
00:34:20.625 --> 00:34:22.365
all the operations on the, on the finger.
571
00:34:22.485 --> 00:34:23.485
P including the arms.
572
00:34:25.395 --> 00:34:28.015
Yes. Everything. It includes the operations
573
00:34:28.015 --> 00:34:29.175
on the finger p
574
00:34:29.835 --> 00:34:31.375
And the main pier.
575
00:34:31.795 --> 00:34:35.735
Yes. Uh, and you'll note from the HSE letter of,
576
00:34:35.935 --> 00:34:39.775
I think the 4th of November, hang on 13th of November,
577
00:34:39.945 --> 00:34:44.375
which is in rep 6 0 4 3,
578
00:34:45.125 --> 00:34:48.575
that what they say is that jurisdiction does not extend
579
00:34:48.575 --> 00:34:50.735
of vessels in the marine environment.
```

```
580
00:34:51.355 --> 00:34:53.255
And then paragraph five, this, that's the end
581
00:34:53.255 --> 00:34:55.815
of paragraph four in paragraph five, which follows, it says,
00:34:56.555 --> 00:35:00.455
um, uh, uh, given
583
00:35:00.605 --> 00:35:03.095
that it hasn't expressed to you about, uh, about that,
584
00:35:03.195 --> 00:35:06.615
but I mean, we can put this informally, uh, uh,
585
00:35:06.715 --> 00:35:07.815
in a document for you.
586
00:35:07.915 --> 00:35:10.535
But our understanding is that coma applies
587
00:35:11.925 --> 00:35:15.655
despite the jetties going out, uh, beyond the land.
588
00:35:18.735 --> 00:35:20.595
So James Strong for the applicant.
589
00:35:20.705 --> 00:35:22.395
That is our understanding as well.
590
00:35:23.055 --> 00:35:25.795
Uh, I, my, I understood the question
591
00:35:25.795 --> 00:35:28.195
to be about expressing views in relation
592
00:35:28.195 --> 00:35:29.955
to the navigational risk assessment,
593
00:35:30.535 --> 00:35:33.235
```

```
but if, if, if I misunderstood, misunderstood
594
00:35:33.235 --> 00:35:38.085
or misstate the position, I, I'm, our understanding as I'm
00:35:38.615 --> 00:35:42.605
instructed behind me is that exactly that the coma
596
00:35:43.825 --> 00:35:46.605
categorization covers the trunk way.
597
00:35:47.065 --> 00:35:49.925
And I think also the finger pair, the,
598
00:35:50.185 --> 00:35:53.285
the question I was addressing was about the HSE expressing
599
00:35:53.295 --> 00:35:56.565
views on navigational risk,
600
00:35:56.815 --> 00:35:58.725
which I, I think Mr.
601
00:35:58.975 --> 00:36:01.205
Elvin is confirming is the same,
00:36:01.865 --> 00:36:05.445
and their calculation in relation to land use planning about
603
00:36:06.285 --> 00:36:10.485
catastrophic events being related to proximity of people
604
00:36:10.585 --> 00:36:12.085
to the, to those incidents.
605
00:36:13.945 --> 00:36:16.725
I'm not, I'm not sure I go, I, I, I agree with Mr.
606
00:36:17.005 --> 00:36:19.805
Raan up to a point, but I'm not sure I accept
```

```
607
00:36:19.805 --> 00:36:22.605
that they have no res that there is no jurisdiction.
608
00:36:23.385 --> 00:36:26.685
If the catastrophic event impacts upon the Coer side,
609
00:36:27.645 --> 00:36:29.165
I think there may well be an overlap there.
610
00:36:29.265 --> 00:36:31.885
And certainly it places significant duties on
611
00:36:32.385 --> 00:36:33.385
Iot. I I think there
612
00:36:33.385 --> 00:36:34.485
might be an overlap
613
00:36:34.485 --> 00:36:36.565
because if there were an incident that
614
00:36:37.165 --> 00:36:39.405
affected something on the finger p let's say,
615
00:36:39.745 --> 00:36:43.565
and there are some IO OT personnel on the finger peer,
616
00:36:44.625 --> 00:36:48.045
uh, HCC would be in their investigating the accident,
617
00:36:53.175 --> 00:36:54.565
James drawn for the applicant.
618
00:36:57.285 --> 00:36:59.445
I, again, if apologies if my,
619
00:36:59.465 --> 00:37:03.925
if it's the way I'm expressing myself, the HSCs approach
620
00:37:04.505 --> 00:37:07.685
```

```
to assessment in relation to that risk
621
00:37:07.745 --> 00:37:12.005
as they've already identified is Cal, their calculations
00:37:12.465 --> 00:37:16.605
and intolerability of risk are identified by reference
623
00:37:16.665 --> 00:37:21.165
to the effect of those events and proximity.
624
00:37:21.625 --> 00:37:23.805
You've seen reference in some of the NRA
625
00:37:23.805 --> 00:37:27.205
and we've made some observations of the I-O-T-N-R-A.
626
00:37:27.425 --> 00:37:29.205
Can I, can I just, sorry.
627
00:37:30.305 --> 00:37:33.005
My understanding of the evidence that we've recine
628
00:37:33.105 --> 00:37:35.245
so far is the HSE has ruled
629
00:37:35.465 --> 00:37:39.685
or opined on the potential for, uh,
630
00:37:41.355 --> 00:37:43.565
como sites surrounding the proposed development
631
00:37:43.985 --> 00:37:47.085
to have effects on the proposed development.
632
00:37:47.715 --> 00:37:50.965
They have not opined on the op the opposite, the,
633
00:37:50.985 --> 00:37:53.165
in other words, the possibility
```

```
634
00:37:53.465 --> 00:37:56.045
of the proposed development having effects on cytes.
635
00:37:58.335 --> 00:38:00.085
Maybe I could be corrected on that.
636
00:38:00.105 --> 00:38:02.485
If, if, if, if you wish, if,
637
00:38:04.065 --> 00:38:05.765
Uh, well, James, for the applicant,
638
00:38:05.965 --> 00:38:07.165
I, I will, I will check.
639
00:38:07.875 --> 00:38:10.205
Because the distinction,
640
00:38:10.505 --> 00:38:14.525
the way you put the distinction may be different
641
00:38:14.525 --> 00:38:15.925
to the way I've understood it
642
00:38:15.925 --> 00:38:19.405
or maybe actually coincident with the way I, I just need
643
00:38:19.405 --> 00:38:20.925
to check how they've expressed themselves.
644
00:38:21.785 --> 00:38:24.005
Can, can I just just point out that
645
00:38:24.355 --> 00:38:26.925
that is my understanding of paragraph five of the letter
646
00:38:27.395 --> 00:38:30.525
that I've just referred to also for clarifi, sorry,
647
00:38:30.525 --> 00:38:34.685
```

```
David Elvin for iot also, uh, clarification that in our coma
648
00:38:35.385 --> 00:38:40.245
duties, uh, of the IO report, the, one of the, uh,
00:38:40.595 --> 00:38:43.925
main two identified hazards is vessel collision
650
00:38:44.595 --> 00:38:49.325
with the oil infrastructure, we can make that available.
651
00:38:54.905 --> 00:38:57.035
Turning to Harbor Master,
652
00:38:59.505 --> 00:39:02.635
what role do you think you've got in, in all of
653
00:39:02.745 --> 00:39:06.755
that in terms of, uh, ensuring, um,
654
00:39:07.825 --> 00:39:09.315
that there isn't an incident?
655
00:39:10.065 --> 00:39:12.755
Because we, we do seem to be at the margins
00:39:12.855 --> 00:39:14.835
of two legislative areas.
657
00:39:18.955 --> 00:39:20.875
Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master. Thank you, sir.
658
00:39:21.015 --> 00:39:24.075
Um, you would've heard the comments from Captain Furman
659
00:39:24.075 --> 00:39:26.075
earlier and indeed throughout this examination
660
00:39:26.745 --> 00:39:31.315
that the iot Trunk ways forefront of the mind, um,
```

```
661
00:39:31.695 --> 00:39:34.755
and I'll just ask him to explain, I think,
662
00:39:34.795 --> 00:39:38.115
and he has already explained that it is what it is one
663
00:39:38.115 --> 00:39:40.395
of the risks that is clearly to be taken into account,
664
00:39:40.535 --> 00:39:43.715
but Captain Furman might be able to explain that further.
665
00:39:47.535 --> 00:39:50.915
Andrew Furman, harbormaster Humber, uh, yes, sir.
666
00:39:51.015 --> 00:39:55.115
Uh, as is, as said, um, the, my real
667
00:39:56.115 --> 00:39:59.235
interest is in controlling all all navigational risks on the
668
00:39:59.315 --> 00:40:01.475
Humber, one of which is impact with structure,
669
00:40:01.935 --> 00:40:05.075
and one of which is impact with structure with with IOT.
670
00:40:05.415 --> 00:40:08.115
And again, in these circumstances, considering the trunk
671
00:40:08.115 --> 00:40:11.515
where, so I would see myself very much
672
00:40:12.495 --> 00:40:14.595
at the forefront of controlling those risks
673
00:40:14.775 --> 00:40:16.915
and preventing that impact, um,
674
00:40:17.095 --> 00:40:19.835
```

```
and being forefront in that risk assessment.
675
00:40:20.735 --> 00:40:25.635
In regards to, um, Passover
676
00:40:25.635 --> 00:40:29.235
of responsibilities, um, a very, a very common, uh,
677
00:40:29.675 --> 00:40:32.315
situation that we come up against is the barrier
678
00:40:32.445 --> 00:40:34.595
where the HSE starts and finishes
679
00:40:34.735 --> 00:40:36.915
and in general, that is at the gangway.
680
00:40:37.175 --> 00:40:40.475
And quite often in regards to investigation is
681
00:40:40.475 --> 00:40:42.395
where the Marine Accident investigation branch
682
00:40:42.495 --> 00:40:46.115
or the MCA takeover, um, that doesn't necessarily
00:40:46.755 --> 00:40:48.115
directly relate to coma,
684
00:40:48.215 --> 00:40:51.395
but it sounds very similar to to that term understanding.
685
00:40:54.255 --> 00:40:58.395
Can I, sorry, David Alvin for iot, can I just point out
686
00:40:58.395 --> 00:41:01.875
that the Coma competent authority is not the Harbor Master,
687
00:41:02.655 --> 00:41:05.715
but the HSE, um,
```

```
688
00:41:06.055 --> 00:41:10.555
and that, um, the statutory duty for the, uh,
689
00:41:10.555 --> 00:41:13.915
rising in relation to the coma competent authority is, is
00:41:13.915 --> 00:41:15.155
therefore the HSE.
691
00:41:15.695 --> 00:41:18.475
And so it isn't matter entirely within the
692
00:41:18.475 --> 00:41:20.155
control of the Harbor Master.
693
00:42:11.490 --> 00:42:15.895
Certainly, I mean, the HSE correspondence is pretty
694
00:42:15.895 --> 00:42:17.135
brief, to say the least.
695
00:42:18.315 --> 00:42:20.855
Um, they do appear
696
00:42:20.855 --> 00:42:23.415
to be focusing on the consultation zones,
00:42:23.415 --> 00:42:26.135
which I've shown in some of the application documents.
698
00:42:26.135 --> 00:42:28.135
And of course, they do not, the consultation zones
699
00:42:28.875 --> 00:42:32.535
do not clear and include anything
700
00:42:32.995 --> 00:42:37.175
or much of, uh, in fact, the marine side of,
701
00:42:37.595 --> 00:42:39.015
```

```
um, iot.
702
00:42:40.635 --> 00:42:44.735
Um, we have certainly sought through the questions
703
00:42:44.735 --> 00:42:47.495
that we put to them recently to get clarification as to
704
00:42:47.495 --> 00:42:50.095
where what they had and hadn't been looking at.
705
00:42:50.875 --> 00:42:54.095
Uh, they seem pretty adamant that they had looked as far
706
00:42:54.095 --> 00:42:58.095
as they should look in terms of their area of jurisdiction.
707
00:42:59.155 --> 00:43:03.575
Um, but as Mr. Bradley indicated, it, it, it might be
708
00:43:03.575 --> 00:43:07.415
that we are in the territory where there is is a bit
709
00:43:07.535 --> 00:43:11.335
of a gap, uh, between, uh, where one jurisdiction
710
00:43:12.515 --> 00:43:14.415
begins and the other ends.
711
00:43:15.115 --> 00:43:18.895
Um, taking the point of Captain Furman that his view
712
00:43:19.435 --> 00:43:23.615
is he will always be looking at safety first, um,
713
00:43:23.835 --> 00:43:26.455
almost irrespective of what the structure is.
714
00:43:27.235 --> 00:43:31.575
Um, he's looking to avoid situation of a lesion, um,
```

```
715
00:43:31.635 --> 00:43:34.455
or for that matter, vessel to vessel collision, uh,
716
00:43:34.525 --> 00:43:36.055
when they're actually in motion.
717
00:43:38.285 --> 00:43:40.495
Yeah. Andrew Furman, harbormaster, Humber, just, just
718
00:43:40.495 --> 00:43:44.455
to make clear the sensitivity of the infrastructure
719
00:43:44.685 --> 00:43:47.455
that the vessels navigating, it is taken into consideration.
720
00:43:47.555 --> 00:43:48.815
So I know a couple
721
00:43:48.815 --> 00:43:49.695
of times in my written
722
00:43:49.695 --> 00:43:50.895
submissions have been picked up for same.
723
00:43:50.915 --> 00:43:53.815
We all this, we don't, when I say we treat everything
724
00:43:53.815 --> 00:43:56.055
with the same, we apply the same principles.
725
00:43:56.195 --> 00:43:57.655
We don't treat an oil terminal
726
00:43:57.755 --> 00:43:59.655
as we do a, a wharf in the upper river.
727
00:43:59.755 --> 00:44:01.495
So the, the, the risk
728
00:44:01.495 --> 00:44:03.895
```

```
and the con the consequences is a huge part
729
00:44:03.895 --> 00:44:04.975
of the, the risk assessment
00:44:41.145 --> 00:44:42.435
Differentiate between
00:45:41.785 --> 00:45:42.135
Hello,
732
00:45:50.215 --> 00:45:50.435
Mr.
733
00:45:50.525 --> 00:45:52.755
Elvin. Did you, did you have a point? Yeah, because,
734
00:45:54.175 --> 00:45:57.675
Um, David Alvin for IOT, firstly, um,
735
00:45:57.905 --> 00:45:59.555
captain Furman suggested
736
00:45:59.555 --> 00:46:01.275
that a risk assessment had been
737
00:46:01.275 --> 00:46:02.795
undertaken for the oil terminal.
738
00:46:02.845 --> 00:46:04.835
We've not seen it. I wonder if we could
739
00:46:04.835 --> 00:46:05.875
be provided with it, please.
740
00:46:06.875 --> 00:46:07.875
Secondly,
741
00:46:08.975 --> 00:46:10.195
Uh, I'm sorry, could you,
```

```
742
00:46:10.855 --> 00:46:12.155
can you take us through that again?
743
00:46:12.535 --> 00:46:13.715
He appeared to be suggesting
744
00:46:13.715 --> 00:46:15.075
that a risk assessment had been
745
00:46:15.075 --> 00:46:16.395
undertaken for the oil terminal.
746
00:46:17.975 --> 00:46:20.115
So, um, Victoria Harten for the Harbor, ma,
747
00:46:20.175 --> 00:46:21.275
that's not what he said.
748
00:46:21.745 --> 00:46:22.875
It's happened a few times now,
749
00:46:22.895 --> 00:46:24.475
but words have been put into the Harbor
750
00:46:24.475 --> 00:46:25.675
Master's mouth. Well,
751
00:46:25.675 --> 00:46:26.675
Perhaps it could be clearer.
752
00:46:28.615 --> 00:46:30.395
Um, If there isn't one, then fine.
753
00:46:30.855 --> 00:46:33.275
No, there isn't a secret risk assessment.
754
00:46:34.015 --> 00:46:36.475
Um, but so just on the point about, sorry,
755
00:46:36.555 --> 00:46:37.555
```

```
I have another point, Ms. Upton,
756
00:46:37.555 --> 00:46:41.875
please. Um, the, the other, the other concern I had
00:46:42.735 --> 00:46:46.955
was, uh, the Reg five duty under the control
758
00:46:46.955 --> 00:46:51.755
of hazards regs, which requires the operator IE the IO OT
759
00:46:52.075 --> 00:46:54.875
operators to demonstrate to the competent authority
760
00:46:55.055 --> 00:46:57.635
that's the duty is on the IO OT operators,
761
00:46:58.585 --> 00:47:00.555
that it has taken all measures necessary
762
00:47:00.615 --> 00:47:02.195
as specified in the regulations.
763
00:47:02.655 --> 00:47:04.475
And that comes back to a point I raised at one
00:47:04.475 --> 00:47:08.315
of the earlier hearings, that the duty is imposed squarely
765
00:47:08.415 --> 00:47:10.715
on the IO OT operators to ensure
766
00:47:11.065 --> 00:47:13.035
that it has done everything necessary.
767
00:47:13.935 --> 00:47:17.875
And that is one of our concerns. Sorry, Ms.
768
00:47:18.035 --> 00:47:19.515
Ton, I just wanted to finish my point.
```

```
769
00:47:20.015 --> 00:47:21.515
Of course. Um, well,
770
00:47:22.205 --> 00:47:26.965
Sorry, Ms.
00:47:27.165 --> 00:47:28.165
Ton.
772
00:47:28.585 --> 00:47:30.965
So thank you. Uh, Victoria Outten for the Harbor Master,
773
00:47:31.235 --> 00:47:35.485
just in terms of gap, of course, I'm, uh, appearing for the
774
00:47:36.245 --> 00:47:37.605
Humber Harbor Master
775
00:47:37.745 --> 00:47:40.965
and by extension for the Harbor Authority.
776
00:47:41.545 --> 00:47:44.885
But the, there's of course the Immingham dock, SHA,
777
00:47:45.535 --> 00:47:49.605
where the geography issue is, um, less apparent.
778
00:47:49.805 --> 00:47:51.645
'cause obviously they could, they have responsibilities,
779
00:47:51.725 --> 00:47:52.725
landside and Marine,
780
00:47:53.585 --> 00:47:55.725
and then there's obviously the overlap with the Humber.
781
00:47:55.905 --> 00:47:58.685
So it is just relevant to take that into account as well.
782
00:48:01.665 --> 00:48:05.005
```

```
783
00:48:10.405 --> 00:48:11.405
Mr. A,
784
00:48:12.525 --> 00:48:13.525
Uh, thank you, sir. Robbie
785
00:48:13.525 --> 00:48:15.025
Owen for CRDN.
786
00:48:15.035 --> 00:48:17.825
There were just a couple of points I wanted to, um,
787
00:48:18.415 --> 00:48:19.825
come back on, which I think might be
788
00:48:19.825 --> 00:48:22.825
of assistance following comments made by, uh, Ms.
789
00:48:22.825 --> 00:48:24.385
Hutton and also Mr. str.
790
00:48:25.645 --> 00:48:30.445
Um, the first point made by Mr.
00:48:30.445 --> 00:48:32.685
Straw was the suggestion of the Secretary State
792
00:48:32.705 --> 00:48:34.285
as an independent arbiter you were told
793
00:48:34.385 --> 00:48:36.125
was, was, was bizarre.
794
00:48:36.465 --> 00:48:38.525
Um, and,
795
00:48:38.525 --> 00:48:41.925
and based on a basic flaw and approach
```

Indeed, it is, uh, been exercising our minds.

```
796
00:48:42.465 --> 00:48:44.645
or a false premise, um, of course,
797
00:48:48.175 --> 00:48:53.115
All that would be involved in were the DCO to be made
798
00:48:53.115 --> 00:48:57.035
and, and, and include a requirement for approval by the
799
00:48:57.555 --> 00:49:01.595
secretary State of a further, uh, NRA, uh,
800
00:49:01.595 --> 00:49:04.395
that would just be an, in effect, an extension of
801
00:49:04.395 --> 00:49:06.875
what the Secretary State would be doing if
802
00:49:06.875 --> 00:49:08.435
and when this DCO is made,
803
00:49:08.435 --> 00:49:11.395
because, um, you will put with your report
804
00:49:11.395 --> 00:49:14.955
before the Secretary of State, uh, all of the assessments
805
00:49:14.955 --> 00:49:16.475
and appraisals, including, of course,
806
00:49:16.855 --> 00:49:19.355
the environmental statement, which includes the NRA
807
00:49:19.775 --> 00:49:20.915
and the Secretary
808
00:49:20.915 --> 00:49:23.435
of State will in making decision on the application,
809
00:49:23.785 --> 00:49:26.715
```

```
clearly be looking at the NRA as well as everything else,
810
00:49:26.815 --> 00:49:28.475
and, and considering whether it's appropriate.
00:49:28.495 --> 00:49:29.915
So there's no difference between
812
00:49:30.505 --> 00:49:32.835
what the Citrus State will be doing, uh,
813
00:49:32.895 --> 00:49:34.075
on receipt of your report.
814
00:49:34.535 --> 00:49:36.315
Um, uh, between that and,
815
00:49:36.415 --> 00:49:39.315
and what, what the Citrus State will be doing in relation to
816
00:49:39.985 --> 00:49:42.995
discharge of a, of a requirement that the,
817
00:49:43.785 --> 00:49:45.875
that a further version of the NRA should be approved.
00:49:46.015 --> 00:49:49.755
And of course, the, the Central State, uh,
819
00:49:49.855 --> 00:49:51.995
has expertise available to him.
820
00:49:52.175 --> 00:49:53.675
It would be perfectly possible for
821
00:49:54.775 --> 00:49:58.475
the requirement if there were to be one, to provide for
822
00:49:59.095 --> 00:50:00.315
the MCA, for example,
```

```
823
00:50:00.495 --> 00:50:02.995
to advise the Secretary State on these matters.
824
00:50:03.215 --> 00:50:05.555
And, and the MCA obviously is an agency
00:50:05.575 --> 00:50:07.275
of the same Secretary State.
826
00:50:07.375 --> 00:50:09.715
So that would be one solution.
827
00:50:09.715 --> 00:50:11.235
There are a number of different ways this could be done.
828
00:50:11.255 --> 00:50:14.395
And, um, a number of relevant examples.
829
00:50:14.695 --> 00:50:18.195
The second point I wanted to make goes back
830
00:50:18.195 --> 00:50:21.275
to this full s premise, the basic flaw and approach.
831
00:50:21.535 --> 00:50:24.315
And you, you were told by Ms.
832
00:50:24.335 --> 00:50:27.485
Hutton that, um, Harbor Master Humber is independent,
833
00:50:28.185 --> 00:50:33.165
is independent as a matter of law and fact, um, and Mrs.
834
00:50:33.165 --> 00:50:36.845
Strawn, in effect, well, he did endorse that.
835
00:50:37.105 --> 00:50:40.725
Um, but you are being told different things here and,
836
00:50:40.785 --> 00:50:44.045
```

```
and that there, there is a fundamental inconsistency in
837
00:50:44.045 --> 00:50:45.285
what the applicant is saying
00:50:45.285 --> 00:50:48.205
because if we go back to the document
839
00:50:48.205 --> 00:50:53.045
that was submitted at Deadline one, which was the,
840
00:50:53.665 --> 00:50:56.285
uh, the document by the applicant, the Port of Ingham
841
00:50:56.285 --> 00:50:57.925
and River Humber Management Control
842
00:50:57.925 --> 00:51:00.965
and Regulation, that's Rep 1 0 14,
843
00:51:02.555 --> 00:51:05.165
that helpfully sets out the applicant's understanding
844
00:51:05.225 --> 00:51:08.445
of the, uh, regulatory regime applying
845
00:51:08.505 --> 00:51:09.965
to navigation of the Humber.
846
00:51:10.105 --> 00:51:13.565
And, and the applicant themselves note at paragraph 8.1
847
00:51:14.425 --> 00:51:17.765
in relation to its functions as firstly owner
848
00:51:17.785 --> 00:51:19.085
and operator of the Port of Ingham
849
00:51:19.185 --> 00:51:20.605
and the SAT Harbor Authority.
```

```
850
00:51:21.605 --> 00:51:24.885
Secondly, as the statutory Conservation
851
00:51:24.885 --> 00:51:25.925
and Navigation Authority
852
00:51:26.825 --> 00:51:28.845
and Humber Statutory Hunger Authority.
853
00:51:29.425 --> 00:51:32.245
And thirdly, as the Competent Harbor Authority, they say,
854
00:51:32.245 --> 00:51:36.685
and I quote, it would be somewhat disingenuous to suggest
855
00:51:36.955 --> 00:51:40.085
that each component whilst falling under the corporate
856
00:51:40.325 --> 00:51:43.525
umbrella of ABP undertakes its obligations
857
00:51:43.625 --> 00:51:46.285
and carries out its functions separately
858
00:51:46.505 --> 00:51:48.005
and distinct from the other.
859
00:51:49.425 --> 00:51:51.605
So those are the applicant's own words.
860
00:51:51.665 --> 00:51:56.405
And indeed they then also confirm at paragraph 10.23
861
00:51:57.515 --> 00:52:01.445
that the, uh, ABP Harbor Authority Safety Board,
862
00:52:01.705 --> 00:52:05.245
whilst being a separate board from the main ABP board
863
00:52:05.875 --> 00:52:08.205
```

```
comprises of the comprises of the same membership.
864
00:52:08.205 --> 00:52:11.645
That's to say not only is it the same corporate body,
00:52:12.675 --> 00:52:14.485
it's also the same natural persons
866
00:52:14.485 --> 00:52:16.405
that carry out these functions.
867
00:52:16.545 --> 00:52:19.405
So it, it seems
868
00:52:19.405 --> 00:52:22.725
to me plain from the applicant's own submission way back at
869
00:52:22.885 --> 00:52:25.645
Deadline one, that they are admitting there is
870
00:52:25.665 --> 00:52:27.205
no structural independence.
871
00:52:27.205 --> 00:52:29.245
They say it would be, it would be disingenuous to,
872
00:52:29.265 --> 00:52:30.285
to suggest there was.
873
00:52:30.785 --> 00:52:34.965
So, I, I simply don't understand what we're being told.
874
00:52:35.385 --> 00:52:38.485
And it, it seems to us to be a very different set
875
00:52:38.485 --> 00:52:41.245
of circumstances to those that apply in the planning arena.
876
00:52:41.425 --> 00:52:44.725
And we, um, set out in our submissions, uh,
```

```
877
00:52:44.735 --> 00:52:49.325
after ISH four, the, uh, particular importance
878
00:52:49.325 --> 00:52:52.085
that functional separation in cases subject
879
00:52:52.085 --> 00:52:55.565
to environmental impact assessment, um, has been given
880
00:52:56.105 --> 00:52:57.205
by, by the courts.
881
00:52:57.385 --> 00:52:59.565
And we, uh, referred you to the Holocaust
882
00:53:00.125 --> 00:53:04.205
Memorial case on handling arrangements, um, from 2020.
883
00:53:04.545 --> 00:53:07.525
And we attached that, where in that case,
884
00:53:07.625 --> 00:53:09.965
the central state's handling arrangements for
885
00:53:09.965 --> 00:53:12.525
that claim application were found to be inadequate.
886
00:53:12.585 --> 00:53:15.685
So it, it seems to me that a, a a, again,
887
00:53:15.685 --> 00:53:17.845
there's a fundamental inconsistency here in terms of
888
00:53:17.845 --> 00:53:20.325
what we're being told, and the applicants themselves have
889
00:53:20.395 --> 00:53:22.245
said, uh, it seems
890
00:53:22.245 --> 00:53:24.245
```

```
to me there is no structural independence,
891
00:53:24.245 --> 00:53:28.245
and yet that's not what we were told, um, half an hour ago.
00:53:28.345 --> 00:53:32.365
So I, I, I leave that point with you to support
893
00:53:33.785 --> 00:53:36.165
my submissions that there needs to be an element
894
00:53:36.185 --> 00:53:39.565
of structural independence added to the DCO, uh, in the way
895
00:53:39.905 --> 00:53:42.205
or along the lines that we discussed before lunch.
896
00:53:44.495 --> 00:53:46.285
Thank you, Mr. Rowan. I'm
897
00:53:46.305 --> 00:53:49.965
before, um, Mr. Gould may wish to come in as well,
898
00:53:50.065 --> 00:53:54.845
but, um, one of the things I, I wanted to follow up,
00:53:54.845 --> 00:53:56.845
which I think links your point, and Ms.
900
00:53:56.845 --> 00:53:59.845
Hatton's point on behalf of the Hub, master Humber, is
901
00:54:01.735 --> 00:54:04.565
let's pursue a, a, a hypothetical line here.
902
00:54:04.705 --> 00:54:06.885
Uh, if there should be a,
903
00:54:10.765 --> 00:54:12.605
a discontinuity, if you like,
```

```
904
00:54:12.705 --> 00:54:16.885
or a, um, indeed a, a disagreement between the um,
905
00:54:17.845 --> 00:54:21.485
SCNA and the Port of Immingham, uh,
906
00:54:21.945 --> 00:54:26.885
Harbor Authority in respect to that interface between
907
00:54:27.985 --> 00:54:31.965
marine operations, navigational and pilotage operations,
908
00:54:32.465 --> 00:54:34.125
and infrastructural matters.
909
00:54:34.595 --> 00:54:38.045
Basically the interface between land and water
910
00:54:38.185 --> 00:54:39.885
or between infrastructure and water.
911
00:54:43.425 --> 00:54:46.125
How in practice does that get arbitrated?
912
00:54:46.955 --> 00:54:49.365
Does, is there any kind of hierarchy here
913
00:55:02.985 --> 00:55:06.525
Except Victoria Hudden for the Harbor Master, not aware
914
00:55:06.725 --> 00:55:09.485
of a legislative hierarchy,
915
00:55:09.865 --> 00:55:12.725
and I'm informed the hub master can't think of any example
916
00:55:12.745 --> 00:55:14.845
of a disagreement which demonstrates the
917
00:55:14.935 --> 00:55:16.325
```

```
Coast collaborative working.
918
00:55:17.545 --> 00:55:21.045
Um, if, if, if, for example,
00:55:21.105 --> 00:55:23.525
the Harbor Master came independently to view
920
00:55:23.525 --> 00:55:26.845
that it was unsafe for a particular ship
921
00:55:26.865 --> 00:55:29.045
to birth at a particular place in a particular time,
922
00:55:29.345 --> 00:55:30.645
he would make a special direction.
923
00:55:30.745 --> 00:55:33.925
And he's not, um, beholden to anyone about that.
924
00:55:33.925 --> 00:55:36.565
That's his power, um, and his loan.
925
00:55:37.825 --> 00:55:41.605
So Yes, the point is that there is,
00:55:41.885 --> 00:55:43.205
there is no legislative hierarchy.
927
00:55:43.385 --> 00:55:46.245
So may, may I just say one thing in response to Mr. Owen,
928
00:55:47.025 --> 00:55:50.365
um, because he read out 8.1 of the note the state,
929
00:55:50.365 --> 00:55:52.845
but of course it goes on to give an example
930
00:55:52.945 --> 00:55:54.125
of what it was talking about.
```

```
931
00:55:54.145 --> 00:55:58.765
And it's unfair to, um, take paragraph 8.1 as somehow
932
00:55:58.835 --> 00:56:00.165
that this is all inter mesh together.
00:56:00.405 --> 00:56:03.645
'cause the example that was given was VTS, um,
934
00:56:04.105 --> 00:56:08.325
vessel Traffic Service, vessel Traffic Service, um, operated
935
00:56:08.425 --> 00:56:12.285
by the Harbor Control manager has, um, it can't undertake
936
00:56:12.285 --> 00:56:13.445
that role efficiently and safely
937
00:56:13.665 --> 00:56:16.405
unless there's closely liaison with the port of Iham.
938
00:56:16.745 --> 00:56:17.885
So it's very important.
939
00:56:18.305 --> 00:56:19.845
Yes, of course there is close
940
00:56:20.475 --> 00:56:22.045
cooperation between these bodies.
941
00:56:23.005 --> 00:56:25.285
I mean, another example is line management on
942
00:56:25.285 --> 00:56:26.725
financial and administrative matters.
943
00:56:26.725 --> 00:56:29.245
Someone has to be, rather than making sweeping statements,
944
00:56:29.275 --> 00:56:33.965
```

```
very careful about where, um, matters do come together
945
00:56:33.965 --> 00:56:35.925
and where there is, uh, independence.
00:56:36.385 --> 00:56:38.205
So I dunno if that's helped at all. But
947
00:56:40.165 --> 00:56:44.365
I, I think, um, this, this picture is starting to, to,
948
00:56:44.385 --> 00:56:46.965
to clarify as the process of the three sets
949
00:56:46.965 --> 00:56:49.325
of hearings has progressed.
950
00:56:49.825 --> 00:56:53.405
Uh, there's just one, I think blurring,
951
00:56:53.405 --> 00:56:56.645
which we recognize is, is perhaps in the nature of things,
952
00:56:56.745 --> 00:57:00.085
but is it adequate for us in our recommendation
00:57:00.265 --> 00:57:01.325
to the Secretary of State?
954
00:57:01.325 --> 00:57:03.205
That is our consideration now.
955
00:57:03.265 --> 00:57:07.765
And that is should, um, there be a disagreement on whether
956
00:57:08.505 --> 00:57:11.965
impact protection measures to infrastructure are required
957
00:57:12.515 --> 00:57:16.125
between the port of Ingham SHA
```

```
958
00:57:16.705 --> 00:57:18.405
and the Humber Harbor master.
959
00:57:19.065 --> 00:57:23.045
My, your, your representation so far is the, uh, uh,
00:57:23.045 --> 00:57:26.365
harbormaster Hamburg could say you will not operate
961
00:57:26.435 --> 00:57:30.365
that ship type at this in these particular conditions.
962
00:57:31.225 --> 00:57:32.605
That's the submission we hear so far.
963
00:57:41.385 --> 00:57:44.605
So, so yes, with Victoria Harden for the Harbor Master,
964
00:57:45.225 --> 00:57:47.365
one distinction, of course, the special direction is given
965
00:57:47.365 --> 00:57:50.485
to the vessel as opposed to, to a,
966
00:57:50.505 --> 00:57:55.445
to the port about operating the, um, uh, the pier.
967
00:57:56.425 --> 00:57:58.965
The, the other observation I would make, of course, is
968
00:57:58.965 --> 00:58:02.565
that under the d the draft DCO, it is the
969
00:58:04.165 --> 00:58:07.885
SCNA who is given the, um, responsibility
970
00:58:08.025 --> 00:58:10.445
for approving safe operating procedures.
971
00:58:10.665 --> 00:58:11.965
```

```
So actually this might be one example,
972
00:58:12.025 --> 00:58:14.005
having said there's no hierarchy actually under the draft
973
00:58:14.325 --> 00:58:17.645
DCO, that responsibility falls on the SCNA.
974
00:58:18.705 --> 00:58:20.845
And so if the SCNA
975
00:58:22.045 --> 00:58:24.565
approved safe operating procedures under paragraph 16
976
00:58:24.625 --> 00:58:26.525
of part one of Schedule four,
977
00:58:27.385 --> 00:58:29.405
and there was a proposal not to comply with those,
978
00:58:29.405 --> 00:58:31.565
then there would be non-compliance with the DCO.
979
00:58:33.945 --> 00:58:35.165
Indeed. And that's it.
980
00:58:35.305 --> 00:58:37.285
That's taken me to the, the, the point
981
00:58:37.355 --> 00:58:39.485
that is exercising us, which is
982
00:58:39.485 --> 00:58:41.685
that the way the DACO is draft at the moment is
983
00:58:42.115 --> 00:58:43.685
that is clear your point.
984
00:58:44.065 --> 00:58:47.085
And in fact, you made the point earlier on today that, um,
```

```
985
00:58:47.465 --> 00:58:52.045
the SCNA has no business in requiring, uh, construction
986
00:58:52.065 --> 00:58:53.725
of impact protection measures.
00:58:54.425 --> 00:58:56.325
So the question then shifts,
988
00:58:56.325 --> 00:58:58.605
and I I'm going to ask you for an opinion first,
989
00:58:58.625 --> 00:59:00.685
but I think it's probably for the applicant to, to,
990
00:59:00.685 --> 00:59:02.085
to give a definitive answer.
991
00:59:02.545 --> 00:59:07.245
And that is, does the port of Ingham, SHA have the, uh,
992
00:59:07.545 --> 00:59:12.325
the, the, the power in indeed the duty to require, uh,
993
00:59:12.465 --> 00:59:13.725
impact protection measures?
994
00:59:13.725 --> 00:59:16.765
And is the DCO being drafted in the wrong way in this case?
995
00:59:16.765 --> 00:59:18.565
Are we talking about the wrong SHA
996
00:59:30.685 --> 00:59:31.805
Victoria hadn't for the Harbor Masters?
997
00:59:31.945 --> 00:59:33.885
So we will look at that more closely.
998
00:59:33.945 --> 00:59:35.325
```

```
But if I can give an initial view,
999
00:59:35.895 --> 00:59:38.125
which is from our understanding of the legislation,
00:59:38.185 --> 00:59:42.765
the same, um, points that I gave earlier would apply in that
1001
00:59:43.305 --> 00:59:47.005
the way the legislative regime is set up is for, uh,
1002
00:59:47.385 --> 00:59:48.885
the potential for directions.
1003
00:59:49.385 --> 00:59:51.925
We could also look at bylaws as well as one another as
1004
00:59:52.435 --> 00:59:54.605
many ways to, to skin a particular cat.
1005
00:59:55.505 --> 00:59:59.885
Um, but the, uh, that means
1006
00:59:59.955 --> 01:00:02.005
that you could essentially have a direction
1007
01:00:02.005 --> 01:00:06.245
that you will only operate in certain, um, conditions, tags,
1008
01:00:06.465 --> 01:00:08.245
uh, operational parameters.
1009
01:00:09.185 --> 01:00:10.245
Um, but it,
1010
01:00:10.305 --> 01:00:11.845
but the, we don't understand there
1011
01:00:11.845 --> 01:00:15.205
to be anything in the legislation whereby the do must have
```

```
1012
01:00:15.205 --> 01:00:20.165
power to, um, to require someone to build a certain piece
1013
01:00:20.165 --> 01:00:24.125
of infrastructure, uh, which is why we say
1014
01:00:24.125 --> 01:00:26.245
that it would be inappropriate for the arbiter
1015
01:00:26.245 --> 01:00:27.325
to be the harbor master.
1016
01:00:27.325 --> 01:00:31.645
Equally, that principle would apply to the Dock Master, uh,
1017
01:00:31.665 --> 01:00:34.525
or indeed to the SHA to the port of the Port of Ingham.
1018
01:00:35.585 --> 01:00:38.485
So again, probably for the applicant, but I hope that helps.
1019
01:00:39.095 --> 01:00:40.685
Thank you for that. That if you like,
1020
01:00:41.305 --> 01:00:42.845
it is essentially a holding answer,
1021
01:00:43.145 --> 01:00:45.765
but I think it's now for the applicant to take a view
1022
01:00:46.345 --> 01:00:49.285
on whether you can answer now whether you want to consider,
1023
01:00:49.825 --> 01:00:53.805
uh, whether it's for the Port of Ingham, SHA,
1024
01:00:54.185 --> 01:00:56.725
to determine whether impact retention measures are
1025
01:00:56.795 --> 01:00:57.845
```

```
appropriate or not.
1026
01:01:02.705 --> 01:01:04.375
James drawn for the applicant, sir.
01:01:04.625 --> 01:01:06.695
We'll certainly reflect on your question,
1028
01:01:06.695 --> 01:01:11.335
but again, my, my understanding is that the Port of em SHA
1029
01:01:11.865 --> 01:01:15.375
could require the impact protection measures
1030
01:01:15.375 --> 01:01:16.455
to be delivered in
1031
01:01:16.455 --> 01:01:21.295
because the Dock Master, for example, has the power
1032
01:01:21.435 --> 01:01:23.455
to control birthing
1033
01:01:23.475 --> 01:01:28.295
and birthing of vessels on the facilities in the same way,
01:01:29.115 --> 01:01:33.895
uh, as the Harbor Master can control the vessels.
1035
01:01:33.915 --> 01:01:37.495
And that's where the overlapping jurisdiction is relevant,
1036
01:01:37.495 --> 01:01:41.295
because whilst the Dock Master doesn't have, uh,
1037
01:01:41.325 --> 01:01:43.655
control over the vessels as they're coming in
1038
01:01:43.655 --> 01:01:48.055
or leaving, um, there is control over the ability
```

```
1039
01:01:48.155 --> 01:01:50.935
to issue directions in relation to the facilities.
1040
01:01:51.755 --> 01:01:56.575
Uh, so in subject to any corrections that I may need to make
1041
01:01:56.595 --> 01:01:58.415
of having just answered your question off the cover,
1042
01:01:58.715 --> 01:02:01.215
in principle yes, that that could be the result.
1043
01:02:01.555 --> 01:02:03.135
The, the DC is drafted
1044
01:02:03.445 --> 01:02:06.975
because of that overlap to identify the role
1045
01:02:06.975 --> 01:02:10.815
of the Harbor master in stipulating that
1046
01:02:10.815 --> 01:02:13.335
because of the way the arrangements work,
1047
01:02:13.635 --> 01:02:18.015
but there is in principle, the ability for such a result
1048
01:02:18.035 --> 01:02:20.885
to occur as a, as in consequence of a Dock Master's
1049
01:02:21.755 --> 01:02:23.885
understanding and approach to safety.
1050
01:02:26.325 --> 01:02:28.565
I, I think consideration might be given to whether
1051
01:02:31.545 --> 01:02:35.445
the, uh, the way that the drafting has started
1052
01:02:35.625 --> 01:02:36.725
```

```
and indeed evolved,
1053
01:02:37.505 --> 01:02:40.405
has perhaps been leading us down the wrong track by, uh,
01:02:40.405 --> 01:02:43.205
putting that obligation on the Harbor master
1055
01:02:43.265 --> 01:02:44.685
rather than on the Dock Master.
1056
01:02:46.505 --> 01:02:49.085
And that's part of the reason why yesterday or the day
1057
01:02:49.085 --> 01:02:51.645
before, whenever it was the request for the note about
1058
01:02:51.645 --> 01:02:56.205
who does what in terms of the, the actual, um,
1059
01:02:56.645 --> 01:02:58.205
consideration, the consenting, um,
1060
01:02:58.985 --> 01:03:01.605
for the various structures in the river and
1061
01:03:01.625 --> 01:03:04.085
or, um, control of shipping
1062
01:03:05.065 --> 01:03:09.045
was requested in connection with this specific proposal, so
1063
01:03:09.045 --> 01:03:12.165
that we do get clarity about whether it is one, two,
1064
01:03:12.225 --> 01:03:14.165
or even three of the harp.
1065
01:03:14.325 --> 01:03:16.525
'cause because there are the constant harbor authority out
```

```
1066
01:03:16.525 --> 01:03:19.405
there as well, um, as to which one it is
1067
01:03:19.505 --> 01:03:21.685
or which combination might be.
1068
01:03:22.275 --> 01:03:26.205
Because if we do end up with a, uh, requirement
1069
01:03:26.675 --> 01:03:28.205
that similarly worded,
1070
01:03:28.345 --> 01:03:32.005
or at least has the flavor of requirement 18,
1071
01:03:32.135 --> 01:03:35.685
there will need to be certainty in there as as to who
1072
01:03:36.945 --> 01:03:39.805
the undertaker would be seeking advice from.
1073
01:03:41.945 --> 01:03:45.685
And, and then the consequence, uh, consideration
1074
01:03:45.795 --> 01:03:47.085
that I'd like you
1075
01:03:47.085 --> 01:03:51.805
to exercise your minds on is if there should be, uh, an
1076
01:03:53.105 --> 01:03:57.565
irreconcilable disagreement between the, uh, SCNA
1077
01:03:57.785 --> 01:04:02.765
and the Port of Ingham, uh, SHA between, if you like,
1078
01:04:02.825 --> 01:04:03.845
har Harbormaster
1079
01:04:03.845 --> 01:04:06.845
```

```
and Dock Master, if one perhaps personalizes it,
1080
01:04:06.885 --> 01:04:10.885
I don't know that's appropriate, then who arbitrates
01:04:15.595 --> 01:04:18.945
James Sha for the applicant, sir, in relation,
1082
01:04:18.945 --> 01:04:20.945
just sticking with requirement 18,
1083
01:04:21.615 --> 01:04:25.025
obviously reflect on whether there's merit in referring in
1084
01:04:25.225 --> 01:04:29.945
addition to the Dock Master, the way you, I don't think
1085
01:04:29.945 --> 01:04:31.745
that fundamentally changes the
1086
01:04:32.485 --> 01:04:34.985
way in which the requirements intended to operate.
1087
01:04:35.085 --> 01:04:36.425
It just introduces,
01:04:37.065 --> 01:04:38.545
I regret that we haven't got onto
1089
01:04:38.685 --> 01:04:40.345
how requirement 18 operates yet.
1090
01:04:40.455 --> 01:04:43.585
Well, we may have to take a pause before we get onto that.
1091
01:04:43.925 --> 01:04:47.145
But on that specific point, which is really, uh,
1092
01:04:47.545 --> 01:04:50.465
a consequence of all the discussion that's flowed prior,
```

```
1093
01:04:51.645 --> 01:04:54.465
who Arbitrates, and that I think is, is the, the, the,
1094
01:04:54.685 --> 01:04:57.465
the essence, because at the moment, uh, again,
1095
01:04:57.465 --> 01:05:01.905
if we take ourselves back to, uh, rep 1 0 14, uh,
1096
01:05:01.905 --> 01:05:06.585
which was cited just now, the, in the, the inference
1097
01:05:06.745 --> 01:05:08.465
of that is that it's arbitrated
1098
01:05:08.565 --> 01:05:10.625
by the Harbor Authority Safety Board
1099
01:05:13.005 --> 01:05:15.585
In, in the event, sorry, James drawn for the applicant.
1100
01:05:16.005 --> 01:05:19.605
Uh, so yes, in in principle the
1101
01:05:20.385 --> 01:05:21.445
has board or,
1102
01:05:21.545 --> 01:05:24.645
or of the applicant is
1103
01:05:24.745 --> 01:05:28.205
the, Uh, how do I put it?
1104
01:05:28.205 --> 01:05:31.805
The, where the everything flows into subject of course.
1105
01:05:32.345 --> 01:05:36.485
So the de the, the performance of, uh, functions
1106
01:05:37.065 --> 01:05:38.965
```

```
by the Harbor master that have been allocated
1107
01:05:39.105 --> 01:05:42.325
by the HAS board in the event of
01:05:43.565 --> 01:05:47.085
a conflict between the Dock Master and Harbor master.
1109
01:05:47.895 --> 01:05:51.525
There isn't any example the I aware of
1110
01:05:52.745 --> 01:05:56.205
in the context of requirement 18.
1111
01:05:56.875 --> 01:05:59.765
Even a difference of view there wouldn't create a conflict
1112
01:05:59.765 --> 01:06:01.165
which required arbitration,
1113
01:06:01.165 --> 01:06:04.565
because if the wording were to act on the recommendation
1114
01:06:04.865 --> 01:06:09.165
of either to, to install them, then the decision has
01:06:09.165 --> 01:06:10.245
to be made in the,
1116
01:06:10.785 --> 01:06:14.765
the arbitrators you pointed out is effectively the statutory
1117
01:06:14.765 --> 01:06:18.085
harbor authority or function of the,
1118
01:06:18.585 --> 01:06:20.445
um, ABP ports.
1119
01:06:22.025 --> 01:06:24.565
I'm sorry that we, we heard yet previously
```

```
1120
01:06:24.565 --> 01:06:28.445
that the HSB is not a star, uh, is not an SHA,
1121
01:06:28.825 --> 01:06:30.365
it oversees the SHA,
1122
01:06:30.365 --> 01:06:33.605
Sorry, the o that's my the has You are absolutely right.
1123
01:06:33.605 --> 01:06:35.085
Sorry, James won the applicant.
1124
01:06:35.085 --> 01:06:36.325
Sorry, that's my, that's my
1125
01:06:36.715 --> 01:06:38.325
misspeaking if I put it that way.
1126
01:06:39.095 --> 01:06:40.725
Hence the, hence the difficulties been been
1127
01:06:40.725 --> 01:06:41.925
wrestling with for four months.
1128
01:06:43.195 --> 01:06:46.765
Well, um, I wouldn't put 'em down to my, the way I speak,
1129
01:06:47.465 --> 01:06:50.405
but many things could be a,
1130
01:06:50.845 --> 01:06:52.605
I think give, this was, again, not ad homm.
1131
01:06:52.705 --> 01:06:55.485
Uh, this was the point that the, the picture
1132
01:06:55.505 --> 01:06:59.245
that's been presented has some, uh, blurred outlines.
1133
01:06:59.635 --> 01:07:03.525
```

```
Well, James, the applicant, uh, blurred outlines, uh, I,
1134
01:07:03.785 --> 01:07:05.365
I'm not sure that I would put it in that way.
01:07:05.625 --> 01:07:09.645
Uh, the, what you have is a function
1136
01:07:10.545 --> 01:07:14.765
of a long history, which you can trace back
1137
01:07:14.825 --> 01:07:18.405
to the 19th century where there was the introduction
1138
01:07:18.985 --> 01:07:22.085
of conservators of these sorts of rivers,
1139
01:07:22.505 --> 01:07:24.085
and then the evolution of that.
1140
01:07:24.785 --> 01:07:28.485
But underlying the basic principle are,
1141
01:07:29.045 --> 01:07:32.805
although you, if you call it blurring, I, I wouldn't call it
1142
01:07:32.805 --> 01:07:34.845
that you, what you have is a number
1143
01:07:34.845 --> 01:07:37.485
of functions subsumed within one body.
1144
01:07:37.545 --> 01:07:40.365
And I've already drawn parallels where things like
1145
01:07:40.365 --> 01:07:44.205
that exist, but within that one body,
1146
01:07:44.895 --> 01:07:48.245
there is practical management of the separation
```

```
1147
01:07:48.245 --> 01:07:51.325
of functions, hence taking objection to Mr.
1148
01:07:51.385 --> 01:07:54.805
Owen's, reference to one paragraph without them reading.
01:07:54.875 --> 01:07:59.085
What then follows as to the way recognizing that
1150
01:07:59.785 --> 01:08:03.525
one body, the way those are then allocated to allow,
1151
01:08:03.905 --> 01:08:07.165
as it's explained in eight point 10, the harbor master
1152
01:08:07.705 --> 01:08:10.245
to fulfill, and I'm quoting its various duties
1153
01:08:10.305 --> 01:08:13.405
and responsibilities as an entirely separate management
1154
01:08:13.805 --> 01:08:16.125
function and be seen to be so doing,
1155
01:08:16.565 --> 01:08:18.125
albeit within the corporate structure.
1156
01:08:18.465 --> 01:08:23.245
So yes, it's a product of history. Does it work?
1157
01:08:24.485 --> 01:08:28.885
Absolutely. And I go back to this point that whilst there is
1158
01:08:28.885 --> 01:08:31.725
that corporate structure and criticism's now made of it,
1159
01:08:32.315 --> 01:08:36.085
it's worked historically, currently,
1160
01:08:36.785 --> 01:08:39.365
```

```
and I gave you the ironic example
1161
01:08:39.505 --> 01:08:43.085
of the DFDS outer harbor being promoted by the applicant,
01:08:43.705 --> 01:08:45.405
but now operated by another operator.
1163
01:08:45.425 --> 01:08:47.925
It, it, there's no basis for saying that the harbor must,
1164
01:08:47.925 --> 01:08:51.245
couldn't then properly exercise control of
1165
01:08:51.425 --> 01:08:53.845
how these ships then operate into the outer harbor
1166
01:08:54.145 --> 01:08:55.145
At this time. That's
1167
01:08:55.145 --> 01:08:57.605
not, not the point that we're looking at.
1168
01:08:57.865 --> 01:09:00.285
Um, and we're not, we're certainly not, um,
1169
01:09:00.465 --> 01:09:02.045
taking prior judgment on that.
1170
01:09:02.585 --> 01:09:05.765
But the, uh, the, the point in question really is
1171
01:09:05.765 --> 01:09:09.285
that we are moving into completely new territory here
1172
01:09:10.355 --> 01:09:12.685
with this proposed development, uh,
1173
01:09:13.025 --> 01:09:16.605
and we have to examine whether
```

```
1174
01:09:17.235 --> 01:09:20.845
what has hap uh, historically been quite satisfactory
1175
01:09:21.665 --> 01:09:24.245
is something needs to have an additional level
1176
01:09:24.305 --> 01:09:25.365
of control or not.
1177
01:09:25.625 --> 01:09:27.005
So that's what what we've been doing.
1178
01:09:45.775 --> 01:09:49.895
I suspect everybody, at least who's, who's used
1179
01:09:49.895 --> 01:09:53.975
to working in in the planning arena, uh, might,
1180
01:09:53.985 --> 01:09:55.455
might appreciate the difficulty.
1181
01:09:55.455 --> 01:09:57.775
Certainly the examining authority finds itself in,
1182
01:09:58.045 --> 01:10:01.215
because we are used to a situation where,
01:10:03.035 --> 01:10:06.575
um, across a variety of,
1184
01:10:06.755 --> 01:10:08.415
um, matters.
1185
01:10:09.115 --> 01:10:10.615
You normally have a situation
1186
01:10:11.465 --> 01:10:15.495
where there is an authorizing body, um,
1187
01:10:16.115 --> 01:10:19.215
```

```
and an applicant developer, whatever you wanna describe them
1188
01:10:19.275 --> 01:10:23.655
as, has to discharge conditions, requirements, whatever.
01:10:24.205 --> 01:10:27.415
They go to the regulator, they seek the approval,
1190
01:10:27.555 --> 01:10:31.695
the regulator decides yay or nayyy to whatever.
1191
01:10:32.715 --> 01:10:36.895
Um, now if, if the, if that's a negative IA refusal,
1192
01:10:37.445 --> 01:10:40.015
then under normal circumstances, there's a right
1193
01:10:40.015 --> 01:10:41.375
of appeal to another body.
1194
01:10:42.075 --> 01:10:44.895
Um, classically in in this arena, it's the,
1195
01:10:44.965 --> 01:10:46.375
it's the planning inspector, it's a planning
01:10:46.375 --> 01:10:48.015
inspector on a planning appeal.
1197
01:10:48.305 --> 01:10:49.575
Let's do it that way.
1198
01:10:49.885 --> 01:10:51.695
What we're struggling here with, here with
1199
01:10:52.395 --> 01:10:55.815
is we don't really have that set up,
1200
01:10:56.275 --> 01:11:00.535
and it's not clear within, um, requirement 18
```

```
1201
01:11:01.195 --> 01:11:03.175
how, what the mechanics of it are
1202
01:11:03.635 --> 01:11:06.815
and ultimately how a decision can be made.
1203
01:11:07.755 --> 01:11:10.575
Um, I didn't want to use the pun,
1204
01:11:10.575 --> 01:11:12.495
but I'm gonna have to do it, hold water.
1205
01:11:14.905 --> 01:11:19.645
Um, and we have been wrestling
1206
01:11:19.645 --> 01:11:21.805
with this issue probably from the
1207
01:11:22.045 --> 01:11:23.285
commencement of the examination.
1208
01:11:24.065 --> 01:11:28.525
Uh, here we are in the third DCO hearing, um,
1209
01:11:29.385 --> 01:11:32.245
and we are still probably scratching our heads about
1210
01:11:32.835 --> 01:11:35.845
precisely how requirement 18 is gonna operate.
1211
01:11:41.145 --> 01:11:44.165
Mr. Straw, any observations on what I've just said?
1212
01:11:44.225 --> 01:11:49.085
And then Ms. Hutton, um, because there's Ms.
1213
01:11:49.085 --> 01:11:51.765
Hutton and Harvard Mar Master, you are kind of regulators,
1214
01:11:52.065 --> 01:11:54.445
```

```
but you are distancing yourselves.
1215
01:11:55.465 --> 01:11:57.285
Um, we've got the Dock Master
01:11:57.905 --> 01:11:59.885
as another statutory Harbor authority
1217
01:12:00.695 --> 01:12:03.645
who's not at the table, but he's another regulator.
1218
01:12:04.425 --> 01:12:09.245
Um, but you know, it, it,
1219
01:12:09.425 --> 01:12:12.125
it is proving challenging to us to work our way through
1220
01:12:12.265 --> 01:12:14.445
how this requirement might work in practice.
1221
01:12:15.385 --> 01:12:16.385
Mr. Strom,
1222
01:12:18.385 --> 01:12:22.685
So James Strom for the applicant, uh, just
1223
01:12:23.705 --> 01:12:25.845
one observation about this being
1224
01:12:26.355 --> 01:12:29.645
uncharted territory in the latest, um, your,
1225
01:12:29.645 --> 01:12:32.005
your latest observation as well.
1226
01:12:32.425 --> 01:12:37.245
Um, the, uh, I just to refer back to ex,
1227
01:12:37.605 --> 01:12:41.605
I have referred back to examples based under the Harbor
```

```
1228
01:12:42.325 --> 01:12:45.485
revision orders and the creation of navigation authorities
1229
01:12:46.015 --> 01:12:49.085
where this commonality, if, if I put it that way,
1230
01:12:49.275 --> 01:12:50.485
between an applicant
1231
01:12:51.225 --> 01:12:55.565
and then a Harbor Authority, managing Harbor Safety is,
1232
01:12:55.665 --> 01:12:58.085
is a feature and not, not unusual,
1233
01:12:58.705 --> 01:13:02.445
but back to the specific point about requirement 18, the,
1234
01:13:02.625 --> 01:13:06.205
the way we, we say it's, it simply operates is
1235
01:13:06.205 --> 01:13:10.045
that at the moment, there is no requirement
1236
01:13:11.105 --> 01:13:14.285
to construct the impact protection measures as a result
1237
01:13:14.285 --> 01:13:16.205
of the conclusions that have been reached
1238
01:13:17.065 --> 01:13:19.565
and the, including the, the position
1239
01:13:19.565 --> 01:13:24.285
of the Harbor Master having looked at the risks involved.
1240
01:13:25.075 --> 01:13:29.045
However, the intention was to have the ability to
1241
01:13:29.555 --> 01:13:31.725
```

```
implement the impact protection measures
1242
01:13:32.425 --> 01:13:34.605
as a potential response
1243
01:13:35.225 --> 01:13:37.965
if the risk profile were changed,
1244
01:13:38.625 --> 01:13:41.285
and it was thought that other measures couldn't address
1245
01:13:41.445 --> 01:13:42.525
that risk profile.
1246
01:13:43.465 --> 01:13:46.765
And so that is the function of requirement 18.
1247
01:13:47.315 --> 01:13:50.605
That in the event of the
1248
01:13:51.795 --> 01:13:56.085
Statutory Conservancy navigation authority recommending
1249
01:13:56.865 --> 01:14:01.125
the implementation of such measures, the
01:14:02.205 --> 01:14:04.805
mechanics of requirement 18, then follow as
1251
01:14:04.805 --> 01:14:09.405
to the way in which the, uh, applicant, the undertaker, uh,
1252
01:14:09.635 --> 01:14:11.405
goes about taking into account
1253
01:14:11.405 --> 01:14:13.405
that recommendation to operate.
1254
01:14:13.865 --> 01:14:17.005
The underlying principle, of course, of that is
```

```
1255
01:14:17.005 --> 01:14:20.525
that the Harbor Master would have the ability
1256
01:14:20.705 --> 01:14:24.125
to direct vessels, not to use iert,
1257
01:14:25.305 --> 01:14:30.005
absent some change in the safety, in this case,
1258
01:14:30.025 --> 01:14:31.405
impact protection measures.
1259
01:14:31.665 --> 01:14:34.245
So it's the, it's the gateway provision
1260
01:14:34.705 --> 01:14:35.845
to allow that to happen.
1261
01:14:35.905 --> 01:14:38.045
But the underlying teeth
1262
01:14:38.345 --> 01:14:40.725
for the Harbor Master is the ability
1263
01:14:40.785 --> 01:14:42.645
to issue a special direction.
1264
01:14:42.825 --> 01:14:46.685
You can't birth there. And, um, just
1265
01:14:46.685 --> 01:14:49.205
to complete the picture, of course, under the relevant
1266
01:14:50.485 --> 01:14:53.405
regulatory regime, it is a, I believe, a criminal offense
1267
01:14:53.585 --> 01:14:57.125
to fail to comply with the direction of the Harbormaster.
1268
01:14:57.385 --> 01:14:59.805
```

```
So it's not as if, uh,
1269
01:14:59.865 --> 01:15:01.605
and it works in that restrictive way,
01:15:02.825 --> 01:15:04.605
it wouldn't matter if there's a difference
1271
01:15:04.605 --> 01:15:06.605
of view in the sense that the Hub Master's
1272
01:15:06.605 --> 01:15:07.925
issued a special direction.
1273
01:15:08.025 --> 01:15:11.245
If you fail to comply, you are guilty of a criminal,
1274
01:15:11.625 --> 01:15:13.405
you're liable to criminal prosecution.
1275
01:15:13.445 --> 01:15:14.965
I would put it in that way rather than saying you're guilty.
1276
01:15:15.265 --> 01:15:16.525
So that, but anyway, that's
1277
01:15:16.525 --> 01:15:17.645
the way it's intended to operate.
1278
01:15:17.865 --> 01:15:18.865
And
1279
01:15:20.145 --> 01:15:24.045
If I may thank you Mr. Strong, there's something for, uh,
1280
01:15:24.045 --> 01:15:26.045
harbormaster, uh, has happened, um, um,
1281
01:15:27.305 --> 01:15:30.125
in the draft protective provisions for FDNA.
```

```
1282
01:15:30.505 --> 01:15:33.965
Uh, the final paragraph, uh,
1283
01:15:34.185 --> 01:15:37.405
on disputes may be of interest here.
1284
01:15:38.065 --> 01:15:41.205
Uh, in that any dispute or writing between the Undertaker
1285
01:15:41.205 --> 01:15:43.725
and the Statutory Conservancy navigation authority under
1286
01:15:43.725 --> 01:15:45.805
this part of the, under this part of the schedule,
1287
01:15:45.805 --> 01:15:50.445
which is the protective provisions, is to be determined
1288
01:15:50.445 --> 01:15:54.925
by arbitration as provided in Article 35 Well, 35,
1289
01:15:55.545 --> 01:15:58.605
um, refers to essentially
1290
01:16:00.345 --> 01:16:02.405
an arbitrator to be agreed
1291
01:16:02.785 --> 01:16:05.885
or failing agreement to be appointed by the president
1292
01:16:05.885 --> 01:16:10.565
of the ICE in respect of the sort
1293
01:16:10.565 --> 01:16:13.565
of matters under which dispute might arise.
1294
01:16:14.865 --> 01:16:19.725
Is that the best form of arbitration? Uh, we can foresee?
1295
01:16:20.505 --> 01:16:22.965
```

```
Um, if that's not something you want
1296
01:16:22.965 --> 01:16:25.645
to respond on immediately, I'd understand.
01:16:28.505 --> 01:16:30.485
Thanks sir. Victoria Harden for the Harbor Master.
1298
01:16:30.865 --> 01:16:33.205
Um, we'll take away the question about
1299
01:16:33.205 --> 01:16:34.405
the form of arbitration.
1300
01:16:34.705 --> 01:16:36.765
In terms of the principle of arbitration.
1301
01:16:37.585 --> 01:16:38.725
Um, no issue
1302
01:16:38.925 --> 01:16:43.205
because there are those two different roles of ABP, so
1303
01:16:43.265 --> 01:16:44.365
that's what we have discussed.
1304
01:16:44.365 --> 01:16:45.885
There's not issue in terms of the principle,
1305
01:16:46.305 --> 01:16:47.325
but we will take away.
1306
01:16:47.545 --> 01:16:49.685
Um, the point about the, the form,
1307
01:16:52.985 --> 01:16:56.365
Uh, it's probably kind of almost ridiculous for me to say,
1308
01:16:56.385 --> 01:17:00.165
but I just wonder whether the president of the RI, uh,
```

```
1309
01:17:00.165 --> 01:17:02.445
of the ICE would be the
1310
01:17:03.795 --> 01:17:08.085
appropriately qualified to, um, help appoint a
1311
01:17:08.615 --> 01:17:11.285
arbitrator of the right nation nature here.
1312
01:17:15.165 --> 01:17:17.385
Uh, Victoria Aden for the Harbormaster. Thank you, sir.
1313
01:17:17.385 --> 01:17:19.505
Yes, we'll, we'll consider that. Thank you,
1314
01:17:25.185 --> 01:17:26.185
Mr. Walker.
1315
01:17:26.775 --> 01:17:28.885
Thank you, sir. Angus Walker for d ft s.
1316
01:17:28.985 --> 01:17:30.525
Um, I've been listening
1317
01:17:30.525 --> 01:17:32.445
to the conversation over the last hour
1318
01:17:32.445 --> 01:17:33.725
and 20 minutes, um,
1319
01:17:33.815 --> 01:17:36.325
which I think it's important we've spent time on it
1320
01:17:36.325 --> 01:17:38.125
because it does seem to be at the very heart
1321
01:17:38.125 --> 01:17:39.165
of this application.
1322
01:17:39.945 --> 01:17:44.165
```

```
Um, trivially the easiest way to resolve, uh,
1323
01:17:44.315 --> 01:17:46.805
requirement 18 is just to provide
01:17:46.805 --> 01:17:49.285
that the impact protection must be in place
1325
01:17:49.385 --> 01:17:51.285
before the, um,
1326
01:17:52.435 --> 01:17:55.005
main development either is constructed
1327
01:17:55.065 --> 01:17:58.645
or operated, depending on your, the conclusions
1328
01:17:58.645 --> 01:18:02.205
of your investigations In ISH five, about
1329
01:18:02.845 --> 01:18:06.085
construction vessels potentially hitting IOT.
1330
01:18:07.465 --> 01:18:10.805
Um, I just wanted to just step back a bit and say,
01:18:10.905 --> 01:18:13.525
and make two points about
1332
01:18:14.585 --> 01:18:17.725
why this is particular a particular issue
1333
01:18:18.745 --> 01:18:22.005
in this application compared to other harbor applications,
1334
01:18:22.005 --> 01:18:26.245
for example, where generally you can regulate,
1335
01:18:27.185 --> 01:18:30.445
uh, matters with external regulators and so on.
```

```
1336
01:18:30.785 --> 01:18:34.565
The first one, as we have heard exhaustively, is the, um,
1337
01:18:35.445 --> 01:18:36.765
independence issue
1338
01:18:36.785 --> 01:18:39.605
and the structural structural independence issue where
1339
01:18:40.515 --> 01:18:44.245
various bodies of ABP feed feed into each other and so on.
1340
01:18:44.345 --> 01:18:49.085
So some sort of external, um, approval
1341
01:18:49.785 --> 01:18:53.845
we would support IOT and CLDN on that, uh, is needed.
1342
01:18:55.465 --> 01:18:59.685
Um, the second one is the heightened navigational risk
1343
01:19:01.035 --> 01:19:05.365
that we're working in, where two of the main, um, in,
1344
01:19:05.545 --> 01:19:07.685
in interested parties have commissioned their own
1345
01:19:07.685 --> 01:19:10.285
navigational risk assessments, both of which conclude
1346
01:19:10.355 --> 01:19:12.445
that impact protection is necessary,
1347
01:19:13.305 --> 01:19:17.485
or the moving of the finger p to make this, make the risks
1348
01:19:18.105 --> 01:19:19.805
not intolerable and make them all a
1349
01:19:21.905 --> 01:19:24.485
```

```
1350
01:19:24.625 --> 01:19:26.245
You, you have to be satisfied
01:19:26.245 --> 01:19:29.765
because of the supreme importance of safety
1352
01:19:31.035 --> 01:19:34.005
that it is, this project is capable of being
1353
01:19:34.955 --> 01:19:37.005
made safe before you approve it.
1354
01:19:38.305 --> 01:19:42.725
Um, it's a pity that the Dock Master isn't here to,
1355
01:19:42.905 --> 01:19:46.685
to answer some of the questions about, uh, independence
1356
01:19:46.685 --> 01:19:47.805
and what his role would be.
1357
01:19:48.665 --> 01:19:52.565
Um, but really I think those are the two overarching
01:19:53.545 --> 01:19:56.765
issues that they make this a different application from
1359
01:19:57.655 --> 01:19:58.765
other similar ones.
1360
01:19:59.655 --> 01:20:00.655
Thank you.
1361
01:20:04.515 --> 01:20:05.065
Thank you, Mr.
1362
01:20:43.915 --> 01:20:45.735
You mentioned that when
```

and we just can't leave all this till later.

```
1363
01:20:45.735 --> 01:20:46.735
We're gonna get a break. Yeah.
1364
01:20:46.735 --> 01:20:49.695
We, we, we are conscious of where we are time-wise,
1365
01:20:50.115 --> 01:20:52.055
and we probably ought to be adjourning soon,
1366
01:20:52.075 --> 01:20:53.175
but we are also aware
1367
01:20:53.205 --> 01:20:55.055
that potentially the Harbor master
1368
01:20:55.305 --> 01:20:56.415
would like to be released.
1369
01:20:57.515 --> 01:20:59.495
Um, we've,
1370
01:21:04.315 --> 01:21:06.055
One of the other things that we've been toying
1371
01:21:06.055 --> 01:21:08.215
with in terms particularly the control measures
1372
01:21:08.835 --> 01:21:13.095
and the in potential in initial control measures is
1373
01:21:13.115 --> 01:21:17.415
to possibly look at, um, a requirement, um, that goes
1374
01:21:17.965 --> 01:21:22.495
down the a grampian type route, um,
1375
01:21:23.765 --> 01:21:26.695
whereby, um, the order
1376
01:21:27.395 --> 01:21:31.215
```

```
in a requirement would identify in effect
1377
01:21:31.405 --> 01:21:32.415
what the starting
1378
01:21:33.515 --> 01:21:38.455
or initial, um, control measures would be along the lines of
1379
01:21:38.525 --> 01:21:43.015
what, uh, captain Furman has outlined, um, in terms
1380
01:21:43.515 --> 01:21:48.335
of windage current, um, and, and some of those.
1381
01:21:48.475 --> 01:21:52.095
So at least there is a baseline, um,
1382
01:21:52.225 --> 01:21:57.015
where everybody knows from day one, um, in effect
1383
01:21:57.225 --> 01:21:59.375
where this scheme might operate from.
1384
01:21:59.565 --> 01:22:01.935
That doesn't mean that later on there
1385
01:22:01.935 --> 01:22:03.055
might not be in a review.
1386
01:22:03.995 --> 01:22:08.895
It also does not tie we, we believe, um,
1387
01:22:09.475 --> 01:22:11.015
the hands of the Harbor Master
1388
01:22:11.235 --> 01:22:13.855
or anybody else with a regulatory interest
1389
01:22:14.685 --> 01:22:18.615
because all it would say is in effect something like
```

```
1390
01:22:18.645 --> 01:22:23.215
that prior to the operation of the proposed development, um,
1391
01:22:24.765 --> 01:22:27.335
control measures, whatever they are, would have been
01:22:27.855 --> 01:22:29.135
identified and published.
1393
01:22:48.845 --> 01:22:50.345
Any observations from the applicant
1394
01:22:53.165 --> 01:22:54.625
in the first instance,
1395
01:22:58.925 --> 01:23:03.865
Uh, James Strong for, for the applicant, uh, on the basis
1396
01:23:03.965 --> 01:23:06.045
of what you articulated?
1397
01:23:06.405 --> 01:23:08.885
I mean, subject to the, the exact wording,
1398
01:23:08.985 --> 01:23:11.845
but in principle, I'm just gonna check.
01:23:11.985 --> 01:23:16.965
Um, we, we don't anticipate a in principle objection
1400
01:23:16.965 --> 01:23:19.125
to that in providing clarity
1401
01:23:22.305 --> 01:23:23.305
Cap. Captain Furman
1402
01:23:23.305 --> 01:23:25.085
will be at a slight disadvantage
1403
01:23:25.525 --> 01:23:29.685
```

```
'cause he won't necessarily be aware of the concept of a,
1404
01:23:29.805 --> 01:23:31.045
a grampian type condition.
1405
01:23:31.045 --> 01:23:33.085
But no doubt he's getting some advice.
1406
01:23:33.985 --> 01:23:38.685
Uh, um, I'm climbing
1407
01:23:38.695 --> 01:23:39.695
Boots are not required.
1408
01:23:40.065 --> 01:23:42.645
If I try and give an, an example outside
1409
01:23:45.325 --> 01:23:46.925
anything to do specific with this case.
1410
01:23:47.105 --> 01:23:50.965
But, um, there are instances where, for instance,
1411
01:23:51.285 --> 01:23:53.525
a new housing scheme is being developed,
01:23:54.015 --> 01:23:56.525
there will be a requirement for some highway work
1413
01:23:56.525 --> 01:23:57.645
to be done offsite.
1414
01:23:58.545 --> 01:24:01.925
Um, there might be an issue about the safety safe operation
1415
01:24:01.925 --> 01:24:05.245
of the junction as part of the planning process.
1416
01:24:05.765 --> 01:24:09.005
Consideration will be given to that, uh, issue.
```

```
1417
01:24:09.545 --> 01:24:13.845
And what often happens is a planning permission is granted.
1418
01:24:14.345 --> 01:24:16.525
It will have a condition attached to it
1419
01:24:16.875 --> 01:24:20.405
that says certain works must be done to that junction
1420
01:24:21.385 --> 01:24:25.485
before the government can be occupied Under that situation,
1421
01:24:25.505 --> 01:24:27.045
the planning authority isn't saying
1422
01:24:27.045 --> 01:24:29.645
to the highway authority, this is what the scheme is,
1423
01:24:29.865 --> 01:24:31.965
and we are approving whatever the scheme is.
1424
01:24:32.625 --> 01:24:36.285
All, all the planning authority is seeking to ensure is that
1425
01:24:36.465 --> 01:24:38.885
before occupation of the development,
1426
01:24:39.135 --> 01:24:40.685
there is a safe junction.
1427
01:24:42.385 --> 01:24:46.405
It is then up to others to ensure that that safe junction,
1428
01:24:47.225 --> 01:24:50.605
um, is designed, then built and then available.
1429
01:24:51.505 --> 01:24:55.885
So coming back to this case, what we're kind of suggesting
1430
01:24:56.465 --> 01:25:00.405
```

```
is, is there at least a way of getting the, at the start
1431
01:25:01.385 --> 01:25:04.245
it clearly identified as to
01:25:04.275 --> 01:25:06.805
what those operational limits would be?
1433
01:25:08.435 --> 01:25:10.965
Does that help? Certainly for Captain Furman's, Bethany,
1434
01:25:11.105 --> 01:25:12.165
he won't be used to.
1435
01:25:12.705 --> 01:25:15.685
Yes. And similarly for io some of the iot team,
1436
01:25:15.685 --> 01:25:17.565
they won't necessarily be familiar.
1437
01:25:17.825 --> 01:25:21.205
The lawyers will be, but, um, and,
1438
01:25:21.205 --> 01:25:23.725
and planners will be in highway engineers and whatever else,
1439
01:25:23.865 --> 01:25:27.565
but, uh, those involved in, uh, shipping won't necessarily
1440
01:25:27.765 --> 01:25:28.965
'cause it, it'll be a different concept.
1441
01:25:29.145 --> 01:25:30.205
But Ms.
1442
01:25:30.205 --> 01:25:31.685
Hutton, are you able
1443
01:25:31.685 --> 01:25:34.485
to give any thoughts on what's just been suggested?
```

```
1444
01:25:35.725 --> 01:25:36.925
Victoria Hudden for the Harbor Master?
1445
01:25:37.185 --> 01:25:40.205
So, contrary to your, uh, supposition,
1446
01:25:40.205 --> 01:25:42.205
it's the lawyer who's gonna ask for clarification here.
1447
01:25:42.665 --> 01:25:45.085
Um, just just so I'm clear,
1448
01:25:45.605 --> 01:25:48.125
'cause at the moment there is this, there is paragraph 16
1449
01:25:48.705 --> 01:25:52.325
in the protective provisions, um, for the conservancy,
1450
01:25:52.775 --> 01:25:56.805
which is a grampian style paragraph because it's
1451
01:25:56.805 --> 01:25:59.245
before commencing marine commercial operations
1452
01:26:00.105 --> 01:26:05.045
and the requirement to submit approval for proposals
01:26:05.045 --> 01:26:06.485
for safe operating procedures.
1454
01:26:06.505 --> 01:26:08.925
So that's written in a ramp in style.
1455
01:26:10.195 --> 01:26:14.525
Just so I am clear that one, as I understand,
1456
01:26:14.525 --> 01:26:17.125
the suggestion would be that that would be published,
1457
01:26:17.625 --> 01:26:19.405
```

```
so publicized the operating procedures.
1458
01:26:19.865 --> 01:26:23.605
Two, is the suggestion of the panel that the,
01:26:23.945 --> 01:26:27.885
the grampian style requirement would have the operating
1460
01:26:28.125 --> 01:26:32.325
procedures in it, IE spelled out tugs, wind, et cetera?
1461
01:26:33.385 --> 01:26:36.765
Or is it as paragraph 16 is currently written
1462
01:26:36.765 --> 01:26:38.365
that it is a scheme to be approved?
1463
01:26:42.645 --> 01:26:44.165
I think what we are saying is
1464
01:26:44.165 --> 01:26:47.645
that we would expect the directions to have been written
1465
01:26:48.505 --> 01:26:53.325
and available for everybody out in the wider world to be,
01:26:53.745 --> 01:26:58.045
um, aware of before the development became operational.
1467
01:26:58.855 --> 01:26:59.925
Thank you, sir. But
1468
01:26:59.925 --> 01:27:01.405
That should not frustrate
1469
01:27:01.755 --> 01:27:03.605
what Captain Furman would be doing
1470
01:27:03.745 --> 01:27:06.405
and others would be doing to get to that point,
```

```
1471
01:27:06.405 --> 01:27:09.325
because those directions would have to be in place
1472
01:27:10.225 --> 01:27:12.445
in any event from, as we understand it,
1473
01:27:12.445 --> 01:27:13.685
which is why I came back to the,
1474
01:27:13.705 --> 01:27:15.685
the highway junction type concept.
1475
01:27:15.795 --> 01:27:16.795
Yeah.
1476
01:27:17.405 --> 01:27:19.005
Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master. Thank you.
1477
01:27:19.005 --> 01:27:20.005
So I think that's clear
1478
01:27:20.005 --> 01:27:24.005
because yes, I, I don't believe there'd be any issue with,
1479
01:27:24.075 --> 01:27:29.005
with publication of, um, the scheme of operation.
1480
01:27:29.865 --> 01:27:33.365
Um, if, if it were proposed that the DCO were,
1481
01:27:33.365 --> 01:27:38.245
were putting in initial operational requirements on
1482
01:27:38.305 --> 01:27:41.045
its face, then that's where the issue may arise.
1483
01:27:41.755 --> 01:27:43.725
What, what, what we're trying to make sure is
1484
01:27:43.725 --> 01:27:45.405
```

```
that the ground rules, which would come
1485
01:27:45.405 --> 01:27:48.685
through the consideration of, um, the legislation
01:27:48.745 --> 01:27:50.605
and everything that Captain Furman does,
1487
01:27:51.065 --> 01:27:55.445
and maybe the Dock Master does, would have been set out,
1488
01:27:56.425 --> 01:27:59.205
um, literally before the first ship birth
1489
01:28:05.075 --> 01:28:06.045
Paragraph 16
1490
01:28:09.515 --> 01:28:10.405
submitted to the,
1491
01:28:14.955 --> 01:28:15.885
there's something missing
1492
01:28:20.465 --> 01:28:21.965
Ms. Hunt on behalf.
1493
01:28:22.865 --> 01:28:26.765
Uh, it just seems to us that, uh,
1494
01:28:27.755 --> 01:28:29.925
paragraph 16 just doesn't go quite far enough.
1495
01:28:30.625 --> 01:28:32.245
That's the, really the summary,
1496
01:28:32.385 --> 01:28:35.725
and I think that that's the, uh, perhaps the opportunity
1497
01:28:36.065 --> 01:28:40.925
for further discussion with the applicant, uh, as to
```

```
1498
01:28:41.905 --> 01:28:44.285
all it's saying is that the undertaker must submit to
1499
01:28:45.305 --> 01:28:48.005
the SCNA doesn't say, uh, that
1500
01:28:49.085 --> 01:28:50.125
anything's gotta be done with.
1501
01:28:53.335 --> 01:28:55.205
Thank you. Victoria Harden for the hub, master, sir.
1502
01:28:55.285 --> 01:28:56.445
I mean, there is the requirement
1503
01:28:56.445 --> 01:28:58.805
and must operate the authorized development only in
1504
01:28:58.805 --> 01:29:00.605
accordance with such procedure as approved,
1505
01:29:02.225 --> 01:29:03.925
but do you mean in the application process?
1506
01:29:04.555 --> 01:29:06.405
Yeah, well, it, it doesn't say anything about
1507
01:29:06.835 --> 01:29:08.965
what the application, I mean, the application
1508
01:29:08.985 --> 01:29:12.925
to the S-N-S-C-N-A, um, it then says you can't do anything
1509
01:29:12.925 --> 01:29:17.845
until it's approved, but it doesn't, uh, uh, doesn't say how
1510
01:29:17.845 --> 01:29:19.845
that approval is, is given. I
1511
01:29:19.845 --> 01:29:21.285
```

```
See, I see. Um,
1512
01:29:21.425 --> 01:29:22.425
Thanks. And basic,
1513
01:29:22.425 --> 01:29:23.925
essentially, how is it secured?
1514
01:29:24.545 --> 01:29:27.285
Yes. Okay. Um, so thank you.
1515
01:29:27.625 --> 01:29:31.005
Um, thank you Victoria Headmaster.
1516
01:29:31.005 --> 01:29:32.045
We, we will take that away
1517
01:29:32.105 --> 01:29:35.245
and have a think about how that could, um, be drafted.
1518
01:29:38.595 --> 01:29:42.295
Mr. Uh, Robbie? Erin, CLDN.
1519
01:29:43.555 --> 01:29:47.575
So I think, I think a GRAMPIAN requirement, um, in,
01:29:47.635 --> 01:29:50.615
in principle could work,
1521
01:29:50.835 --> 01:29:53.055
but of course, it immediately begs the question as to
1522
01:29:53.645 --> 01:29:56.655
what happens in the event of there being disagreement
1523
01:29:57.965 --> 01:30:00.735
between the Harbor master on the one hand
1524
01:30:00.835 --> 01:30:03.735
and the ips in terms of the nature
```

```
1525
01:30:03.735 --> 01:30:04.975
of these control measures.
1526
01:30:05.355 --> 01:30:09.575
Uh, and it puts you straight back to the, um, lack
01:30:09.575 --> 01:30:11.535
of independence concern that we all have.
1528
01:30:11.915 --> 01:30:14.855
Um, and it's another case of the applicant
1529
01:30:15.365 --> 01:30:18.335
marking its own homework in effect, and
1530
01:30:18.365 --> 01:30:20.055
therefore it doesn't really get over
1531
01:30:20.445 --> 01:30:24.935
that fundamental concern that, uh, in my view with, with,
1532
01:30:25.245 --> 01:30:28.695
with a good basis the, the, the ips have on this point.
1533
01:30:29.075 --> 01:30:31.695
Um, so the device, the device of a grampian requirement
01:30:32.265 --> 01:30:36.455
would be fine, but it would need to have that element
1535
01:30:36.475 --> 01:30:39.215
of independence written into it to provide a safeguard
1536
01:30:39.215 --> 01:30:41.095
for the interests, the, the,
1537
01:30:41.095 --> 01:30:44.895
the critically important interests of the, of CLDN and and,
1538
01:30:44.995 --> 01:30:46.495
```

```
and, um, other ips.
1539
01:30:49.345 --> 01:30:53.335
Thank you msra. Um, perhaps
01:30:53.995 --> 01:30:58.935
we could seek advice from hub Master, um, should
1541
01:31:00.235 --> 01:31:01.855
an SSA's decision
1542
01:31:01.955 --> 01:31:04.895
or direction be disagreed with by a stakeholder?
1543
01:31:05.325 --> 01:31:06.575
What is the recourse?
1544
01:31:06.575 --> 01:31:08.935
What, what form of appeal might there be?
1545
01:31:11.735 --> 01:31:13.055
Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master?
1546
01:31:13.645 --> 01:31:14.895
Well, it's judicial review.
1547
01:31:15.355 --> 01:31:18.655
Um, if the Harbor master is exercising statutory functions,
1548
01:31:19.195 --> 01:31:21.375
uh, it's not a matter of of appeal.
1549
01:31:21.875 --> 01:31:23.415
Um, and in response to Mr.
1550
01:31:23.615 --> 01:31:26.335
O, what I would highlight is that the ips don't have
1551
01:31:27.205 --> 01:31:30.695
statutory responsibility relating to the safe operation
```

```
1552
01:31:30.695 --> 01:31:32.095
of the Humber.
1553
01:31:32.355 --> 01:31:35.095
And so it would be entirely inappropriate for them
1554
01:31:35.195 --> 01:31:36.775
to be regulating that issue.
1555
01:31:37.475 --> 01:31:39.375
So, um, if, if,
1556
01:31:39.435 --> 01:31:41.455
if a particular matter weren't taken into account
1557
01:31:41.455 --> 01:31:42.895
that there was a material consideration
1558
01:31:43.235 --> 01:31:46.015
or a decision was irrational, um, there are the,
1559
01:31:46.275 --> 01:31:50.335
the regular public law procedures in order to, um,
1560
01:31:51.075 --> 01:31:52.975
to ensure those decisions are held to account.
1561
01:31:53.635 --> 01:31:55.215
If I could just come back on that, Robio
1562
01:31:55.215 --> 01:31:57.335
and CODN, the ipss aren't seeking
1563
01:31:57.335 --> 01:31:59.015
to be the regulators at all.
1564
01:31:59.455 --> 01:32:01.605
We're seeking an element of independence here.
1565
01:32:02.305 --> 01:32:04.885
```

```
Um, yeah, that is, that is what we're seeking,
1566
01:32:04.945 --> 01:32:06.045
and it's no answer.
1567
01:32:06.265 --> 01:32:09.125
I'm afraid to say that judicial review is the remedy here
1568
01:32:09.125 --> 01:32:11.605
because, uh, as everyone knows, it's a very high bar.
1569
01:32:11.605 --> 01:32:15.645
And of course, the court cannot, um, uh, disagree
1570
01:32:15.645 --> 01:32:18.885
with matters of judgment, um, in relation to factual issues,
1571
01:32:18.885 --> 01:32:20.405
which is what would be concerned here.
1572
01:32:20.585 --> 01:32:23.965
Uh, it, it's only concern with errors of law, uh, in effect,
1573
01:32:23.965 --> 01:32:26.085
that's what the judicial review process is for.
1574
01:32:26.345 --> 01:32:28.925
So it's, it's, it's not, not an appropriate remedy
1575
01:32:29.225 --> 01:32:32.365
for dealing with the lack of independence concern.
1576
01:33:03.445 --> 01:33:07.085
I think it would be just worth asking Ms.
1577
01:33:07.085 --> 01:33:08.965
Hutton if there's anything to further
1578
01:33:08.985 --> 01:33:10.445
to say in response to that
```

```
1579
01:33:12.365 --> 01:33:13.485
Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master.
1580
01:33:13.705 --> 01:33:15.485
So we'll end up going round the houses,
1581
01:33:15.785 --> 01:33:18.205
but the legislation is the legislation,
1582
01:33:18.555 --> 01:33:20.205
it's the statutory Harbor Authority,
1583
01:33:20.205 --> 01:33:22.125
which has the relevant duties and powers.
1584
01:33:22.585 --> 01:33:24.125
Um, there's no getting round that.
1585
01:33:24.225 --> 01:33:28.285
So, uh, I've made my submissions on judicial review,
1586
01:33:28.285 --> 01:33:30.645
and it would be inappropriate to have any IP
1587
01:33:30.665 --> 01:33:34.765
or group of ips standing in the shoes of the SHA in any way.
01:33:35.305 --> 01:33:38.765
But we will have another look at, um, paragraph 16
1589
01:33:39.665 --> 01:33:43.845
and, um, see if we can provide some comfort to the panel,
1590
01:33:44.475 --> 01:33:46.765
obviously in, in, in discussions with the applicant.
1591
01:33:47.745 --> 01:33:50.645
Yes. Again, I, I don't think we should prolong it,
1592
01:33:50.825 --> 01:33:52.365
```

```
uh, unnecessarily.
1593
01:33:52.505 --> 01:33:55.245
The, the, but the point that most Mr. Owens making is that,
01:33:55.505 --> 01:33:59.805
um, JR is unlikely to be the, the appropriate
1595
01:34:00.515 --> 01:34:03.565
mechanism in regard to a
1596
01:34:04.165 --> 01:34:05.845
disagreement over the judgment.
1597
01:34:06.625 --> 01:34:08.125
Uh, in other words, the, the,
1598
01:34:08.125 --> 01:34:10.765
the SH a's judgment appears to be final on this,
1599
01:34:11.625 --> 01:34:15.005
Uh, Yeah, uh, Victoria Hutton for the hub master
1600
01:34:15.585 --> 01:34:18.685
as it should be, because that is the legislative regime,
01:34:19.185 --> 01:34:20.205
and it is his judgment.
1602
01:34:20.625 --> 01:34:24.645
And, and this pro process in my submission, uh,
1603
01:34:24.935 --> 01:34:29.205
can't seek to upend the legislative regime
1604
01:34:29.205 --> 01:34:31.405
that is in place, which does give the judgment
1605
01:34:31.905 --> 01:34:33.325
to the S Harbor authority.
```

```
1606
01:34:34.205 --> 01:34:36.405
I think that if I could encourage you,
1607
01:34:36.405 --> 01:34:39.605
just in taking this away for further consideration, uh,
01:34:39.605 --> 01:34:43.205
what we really, I think have exposed is the problem at the
1609
01:34:43.335 --> 01:34:47.965
interfaces, the interface in this case between the, um,
1610
01:34:48.685 --> 01:34:51.645
SCNA and the Port SHA
1611
01:34:52.065 --> 01:34:54.765
and at the interface between the Port SHA
1612
01:34:55.465 --> 01:34:57.805
and the, uh, responsibilities
1613
01:34:57.905 --> 01:35:00.005
of the operator of the Como site.
1614
01:35:01.185 --> 01:35:02.765
So I think that, that your
01:35:03.625 --> 01:35:06.485
Sutton will be looking very closely at the first of those.
1616
01:35:07.345 --> 01:35:10.925
Uh, I suggest that it's necessary for the, uh, applicant
1617
01:35:11.385 --> 01:35:14.805
and the IOT operators to be continuing
1618
01:35:14.805 --> 01:35:16.925
to look very closely at that other interface
1619
01:35:17.625 --> 01:35:19.965
```

```
and see whether there's anything further we can do to give,
1620
01:35:20.225 --> 01:35:24.485
uh, effectively, uh, uh, um,
01:35:24.875 --> 01:35:27.245
comfort to the Secretary of State
1622
01:35:27.245 --> 01:35:29.725
of making a decision on this DCO application,
1623
01:35:32.815 --> 01:35:34.125
James drawn for the applicant.
1624
01:35:34.465 --> 01:35:36.925
So obviously you can reflect on that.
1625
01:35:37.385 --> 01:35:38.565
Can I just, I don't want
1626
01:35:38.565 --> 01:35:40.805
to get prolonged debate about independence,
1627
01:35:40.825 --> 01:35:44.605
but there is law on precisely the issue
01:35:44.955 --> 01:35:47.885
that you just heard about, to the effect,
1629
01:35:48.705 --> 01:35:51.485
and I'm summarizing an awful lot of law here,
1630
01:35:51.505 --> 01:35:56.285
but to the effect that judicial review is an adequate remedy
1631
01:35:57.265 --> 01:36:01.965
for addressing perceived lack of independence, where
1632
01:36:02.875 --> 01:36:06.445
it's involving a review of the exercise of judgment.
```

```
1633
01:36:07.705 --> 01:36:10.325
The difference can arise
1634
01:36:11.215 --> 01:36:15.845
where there is fact, a process of fact finding where,
1635
01:36:16.185 --> 01:36:19.925
um, one may need the independence
1636
01:36:20.665 --> 01:36:24.765
to be more than simply a judicial review of the
1637
01:36:25.405 --> 01:36:26.685
rationality of the judgment.
1638
01:36:27.665 --> 01:36:32.125
And it's been up to the, either the House of law
1639
01:36:32.125 --> 01:36:34.165
or the Supreme Court, depending on when they were in the
1640
01:36:34.165 --> 01:36:35.245
case of Una Bigham.
1641
01:36:35.865 --> 01:36:39.925
We, I, I can, I don't want to weary the examine authority
01:36:39.945 --> 01:36:41.125
of the loss of law on that,
1643
01:36:41.185 --> 01:36:45.725
but it has been examined in the context of Article six
1644
01:36:45.785 --> 01:36:48.045
of the European Convention on Human Rights,
1645
01:36:48.085 --> 01:36:50.245
when people are concerned about decision makers
1646
01:36:50.675 --> 01:36:51.845
```

```
lacking independence.
1647
01:36:52.145 --> 01:36:55.085
And the, the law has,
1648
01:36:55.585 --> 01:36:57.845
has quite some quite clear statements to make about that.
1649
01:37:00.465 --> 01:37:02.565
Uh, I do agree that,
1650
01:37:02.595 --> 01:37:04.085
that we shouldn't prolong this very much.
1651
01:37:04.145 --> 01:37:07.925
Um, I, I encourage you to very useful,
1652
01:37:08.505 --> 01:37:12.405
but, um, I don't think independence is the point
1653
01:37:12.675 --> 01:37:14.805
that is moot to Mr.
1654
01:37:14.905 --> 01:37:17.885
Owen's point here. I think it's, it's, the point is
01:37:17.885 --> 01:37:21.765
that the, the that, uh, uh, as it stands,
1656
01:37:21.985 --> 01:37:26.085
the SHA, um, will be, um, uh,
1657
01:37:26.715 --> 01:37:30.845
basically imposing conditions, uh, on operation.
1658
01:37:31.185 --> 01:37:34.125
Um, and there is, uh, no
1659
01:37:35.885 --> 01:37:38.845
apparent way for those conditions to be challenged.
```

```
1660
01:37:40.885 --> 01:37:44.765
I think the point that Mr. Owen to be fair is making is
1661
01:37:44.765 --> 01:37:49.085
that whether those conditions would be sufficient, um,
01:37:50.565 --> 01:37:53.205
I think we should, um, seek
1663
01:37:53.705 --> 01:37:56.685
or give iot the opportunity to comment on
1664
01:37:58.505 --> 01:38:01.205
the graming condition suggestion.
1665
01:38:02.305 --> 01:38:06.845
Um, Mr. Elvin, anything to comment on in that regard?
1666
01:38:08.105 --> 01:38:10.045
I'm not sure we are as keen on it as, as others.
1667
01:38:10.305 --> 01:38:12.565
Um, I think we prefer the controls
1668
01:38:12.565 --> 01:38:15.565
to be spelled out in the DCO, uh,
01:38:15.705 --> 01:38:18.685
and it certainly wouldn't assist with any physical
1670
01:38:19.825 --> 01:38:21.245
impact protection measures.
1671
01:38:22.225 --> 01:38:25.805
And also, um, it's not going to assist
1672
01:38:26.345 --> 01:38:28.365
to the extent there's an overlap with the coma.
1673
01:38:28.715 --> 01:38:29.715
```

```
This is
1674
01:39:06.315 --> 01:39:08.015
Mr. Gould, Right?
1675
01:39:08.315 --> 01:39:11.575
Robbie Owen for CLDN, I wonder if I can just, um, comment on
1676
01:39:11.765 --> 01:39:15.295
what you, uh, what what you were saying a moment ago.
1677
01:39:15.475 --> 01:39:19.175
Um, uh, the concern CLDN has is,
1678
01:39:19.475 --> 01:39:21.655
is not just the independence one,
1679
01:39:21.675 --> 01:39:24.695
but the fact that we are contemplating, in effect,
1680
01:39:24.745 --> 01:39:27.775
postponing a judgment on the acceptability
1681
01:39:28.515 --> 01:39:32.815
of operational controls to, to, to later in the process
01:39:33.035 --> 01:39:34.895
beyond a decision on the DCO.
1683
01:39:35.795 --> 01:39:39.575
And therefore, we are concerned
1684
01:39:39.575 --> 01:39:42.775
that there should be a proper process to test, uh,
1685
01:39:43.135 --> 01:39:44.175
judgment in that respect.
1686
01:39:44.995 --> 01:39:46.615
And therefore, I think it's very different
```

```
1687
01:39:46.615 --> 01:39:49.015
to the situation Mr.
1688
01:39:49.015 --> 01:39:53.175
Straw is referring to with the, uh, the reference
1689
01:39:53.175 --> 01:39:57.895
to the law on this, um, where yes, in a normal circumstance,
1690
01:39:57.955 --> 01:40:01.215
ju judicial review is appropriate to test the judgment of a,
1691
01:40:01.395 --> 01:40:05.535
of, of a, of a personal body having statutory
1692
01:40:05.535 --> 01:40:06.695
powers in their exercise.
1693
01:40:07.035 --> 01:40:10.135
Um, but, but that's, that's in a very different context in,
1694
01:40:10.135 --> 01:40:12.335
in generality to what we're contemplating here, which is,
1695
01:40:12.355 --> 01:40:15.775
as I say, postponing a decision, uh, going to the core
1696
01:40:15.835 --> 01:40:18.535
of the environmental impact assessment of this application.
1697
01:40:19.075 --> 01:40:22.135
Uh, and, and therefore it's entirely appropriate, um, uh,
1698
01:40:22.635 --> 01:40:25.015
in the event of this being delayed to a requirement,
1699
01:40:25.015 --> 01:40:26.415
and I absolutely hear what Mr.
1700
01:40:26.465 --> 01:40:27.495
```

```
Elvin said about that.
1701
01:40:27.805 --> 01:40:29.575
It's entirely appropriate, we would say, for there
01:40:29.575 --> 01:40:33.135
to be an element of independence that isn't judicial review
1703
01:40:33.155 --> 01:40:36.735
to test matters of, of fact and judgment.
1704
01:40:37.195 --> 01:40:39.375
Um, that would be our position on that.
1705
01:40:57.285 --> 01:41:00.485
I think we have probably taken consideration
1706
01:41:00.485 --> 01:41:03.525
of requirement 18 NRA type matters
1707
01:41:03.945 --> 01:41:07.325
and any other alternative as far as we can.
1708
01:41:08.065 --> 01:41:10.245
Um, I'm conscious of the time,
1709
01:41:10.265 --> 01:41:13.725
but I, there were just a few questions on protective protect
1710
01:41:14.805 --> 01:41:16.685
Humber Masters, a protective provision
1711
01:41:16.705 --> 01:41:21.245
or the, um, uh, yeah, um,
1712
01:41:21.995 --> 01:41:25.405
part one, uh, if, if, if people will indulge us just
1713
01:41:25.405 --> 01:41:26.725
to ask two or three questions,
```

```
1714
01:41:27.385 --> 01:41:29.005
we can then adjourn after that.
1715
01:41:29.055 --> 01:41:33.325
We'll come back to protective provisions of others, um,
1716
01:41:33.335 --> 01:41:34.445
after the adjourn.
1717
01:41:34.665 --> 01:41:37.685
But they do not, if that discussion,
1718
01:41:37.725 --> 01:41:40.445
I don't think in involve needs involve the harbor master.
1719
01:41:40.985 --> 01:41:42.765
So is everybody agreeable
1720
01:41:42.765 --> 01:41:44.445
that we probably spent about another five,
1721
01:41:44.995 --> 01:41:47.845
hopefully no more than 10 minutes, uh, to result
1722
01:41:47.865 --> 01:41:50.605
or to, to go through just quickly some, um, matters
01:41:50.635 --> 01:41:54.845
with the Harbor master and the protective provisions in part
1724
01:41:55.025 --> 01:41:56.325
one of Schedule four,
1725
01:41:57.105 --> 01:41:59.885
and then we'll adjourn, um,
1726
01:42:00.305 --> 01:42:02.045
for a mid-afternoon break at that point.
1727
01:42:22.305 --> 01:42:26.205
```

```
So looking at paragraph three in part one
1728
01:42:26.225 --> 01:42:30.885
of schedule four, um,
1729
01:42:31.025 --> 01:42:33.925
and this is, you know, the protective provisions
1730
01:42:33.925 --> 01:42:35.245
for the statutory conservancy
1731
01:42:35.245 --> 01:42:37.445
and navigation authority, um,
1732
01:42:39.545 --> 01:42:41.525
in the, the second
1733
01:42:42.505 --> 01:42:46.165
or the second sub, uh, subparagraph, um,
1734
01:42:47.655 --> 01:42:49.605
where there's the approval mechanism.
1735
01:42:49.985 --> 01:42:53.085
Um, and in effect, what we, what we are seeing is
01:42:53.085 --> 01:42:55.885
that if no decision is made within 28 days,
1737
01:42:56.745 --> 01:42:58.045
in effect, is it deemed approval?
1738
01:43:00.465 --> 01:43:03.685
Is the Harbor master content with that,
1739
01:43:04.225 --> 01:43:06.125
or should it be the other way around
1740
01:43:06.195 --> 01:43:08.725
that if there's no decision, it's a deemed refusal
```

```
1741
01:43:18.125 --> 01:43:19.365
Victoria Harden for the Harbor Master?
1742
01:43:19.635 --> 01:43:21.045
Yeah, so we, we discussed this.
1743
01:43:21.075 --> 01:43:25.165
That point is that 28 days is a sufficient period of time
1744
01:43:25.865 --> 01:43:27.805
we consider for the decision.
1745
01:43:28.345 --> 01:43:31.525
If it's not, uh, then there could be a refusal
1746
01:43:32.205 --> 01:43:33.205
'cause there haven't been enough time
1747
01:43:33.265 --> 01:43:34.445
to consider the application.
1748
01:43:35.105 --> 01:43:39.845
So it's perfectly possible to refuse, uh, on the basis that,
1749
01:43:41.035 --> 01:43:42.365
that something is outstanding.
1750
01:43:42.465 --> 01:43:45.445
For example, comments from the Environment Agency.
1751
01:43:49.325 --> 01:43:52.085
We just felt we, we needed to ask the question.
1752
01:43:52.365 --> 01:43:55.645
'cause it's an area sometimes where, uh,
1753
01:43:55.645 --> 01:43:56.965
regulators don't realize
1754
01:43:57.155 --> 01:43:58.565
```

```
that if they don't make the decision,
1755
01:43:58.595 --> 01:43:59.805
they end up with an approval.
01:44:00.025 --> 01:44:01.805
But if the Harbor master is comfortable
1757
01:44:01.805 --> 01:44:04.085
that either he can make the decision in 28 days
1758
01:44:04.545 --> 01:44:09.125
or he will issue a refusal to protect his position, uh,
1759
01:44:09.125 --> 01:44:12.605
then we need not, I think, query that any further.
1760
01:44:12.825 --> 01:44:14.565
But we felt we had to raise it. Thank
1761
01:44:14.565 --> 01:44:15.565
You, sir.
1762
01:44:15.625 --> 01:44:19.165
Um, because normally most parties are looking for it
1763
01:44:19.165 --> 01:44:20.165
to be the other way around.
1764
01:44:20.195 --> 01:44:21.445
They prefer that it, it,
1765
01:44:21.505 --> 01:44:23.805
it drops into the deemed refusal category.
1766
01:44:24.965 --> 01:44:25.085
Ι
1767
01:44:29.525 --> 01:44:30.025
So thank you.
```

```
1768
01:44:30.205 --> 01:44:31.545
If you felt strongly on that point.
1769
01:44:31.905 --> 01:44:34.265
Adeem refusal wouldn't be a problem for us either.
1770
01:44:34.335 --> 01:44:35.625
It's just that we are satisfied
1771
01:44:35.625 --> 01:44:37.225
with the way it's drafted at the moment.
1772
01:44:38.195 --> 01:44:38.625
Thank you.
1773
01:44:49.345 --> 01:44:53.935
There may just be a small drafting issue within, um, the,
1774
01:44:54.075 --> 01:44:58.455
the latter part of, um, that subparagraph.
1775
01:44:58.795 --> 01:45:01.855
Um, let's get how
1776
01:45:01.855 --> 01:45:03.375
Many lines do 1, 2, 3
1777
01:45:03.675 --> 01:45:06.735
Fourth line down towards the middle?
1778
01:45:06.745 --> 01:45:10.735
We've got subparagraph one and then must not.
1779
01:45:10.915 --> 01:45:13.095
We think there probably ought to be an and in there.
1780
01:45:17.165 --> 01:45:19.455
Alright, so I'm looking at the wrong place. Where, where,
1781
01:45:20.075 --> 01:45:23.655
```

```
Uh, again, in, in paragraph three, subparagraph
1782
01:45:23.755 --> 01:45:24.895
2 0 2,
1783
01:45:26.925 --> 01:45:31.585
Uh, fourth line after under subparagraph one,
1784
01:45:32.055 --> 01:45:35.465
then it goes on to say must not reasonably, I think it must,
1785
01:45:35.565 --> 01:45:37.385
it should be and must not reasonably.
1786
01:45:37.765 --> 01:45:38.905
Yes, I think that's right, sir.
1787
01:45:43.945 --> 01:45:45.475
Does that, does that accord with
1788
01:45:45.475 --> 01:45:48.315
what the applicant thinks should be appearing there
1789
01:45:54.735 --> 01:45:56.035
or was there something else supposed
1790
01:45:56.035 --> 01:45:57.315
to be there that there's missing
1791
01:46:02.365 --> 01:46:03.635
James Strong for the applicant?
1792
01:46:03.895 --> 01:46:04.875
Yes, sir. I think you are right.
1793
01:46:17.135 --> 01:46:17.425
Then
1794
01:46:17.425 --> 01:46:22.025
Looking at paragraph 3, 3, 4,
```

```
1795
01:46:23.755 --> 01:46:27.215
um, where there's a consultation
1796
01:46:28.165 --> 01:46:30.815
with the environment agency, we are just wondering whether
1797
01:46:31.785 --> 01:46:33.975
there should also be a consultation with a,
1798
01:46:34.095 --> 01:46:36.415
a marine management organization in there as well.
1799
01:46:37.735 --> 01:46:37.855
Ι,
1800
01:46:42.935 --> 01:46:45.275
Um, Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master.
1801
01:46:46.535 --> 01:46:48.595
So, uh, yes, we're certainly open to that.
1802
01:46:48.835 --> 01:46:51.685
I think it, uh, as the regime currently exists, there's the
1803
01:46:52.195 --> 01:46:55.965
licensing function of the SHA for works,
1804
01:46:56.025 --> 01:46:57.845
but it's subject to the MMO license.
1805
01:46:58.155 --> 01:47:00.365
Here we have the deemed marine license
1806
01:47:01.425 --> 01:47:05.205
and so I, I suppose it would make sense to have them
1807
01:47:05.205 --> 01:47:06.885
as a consultee as well, but
1808
01:47:09.955 --> 01:47:12.165
```

```
They wouldn't be now. They wouldn't be
1809
01:47:12.185 --> 01:47:13.205
Now because they can.
1810
01:47:16.415 --> 01:47:18.785
Well, we, we've raised the question, do you want
1811
01:47:18.785 --> 01:47:22.265
to go away and think about the any need or otherwise?
1812
01:47:23.135 --> 01:47:24.625
That can always be put back
1813
01:47:24.625 --> 01:47:27.545
to the applicant if the need is thought to be appropriate.
1814
01:47:28.275 --> 01:47:29.945
Thank you. So yes, Victoria Den
1815
01:47:29.945 --> 01:47:31.065
from the Harbor Master will do that.
1816
01:47:39.935 --> 01:47:41.175
I think the f the fourth
1817
01:47:41.195 --> 01:47:45.735
and last question we've already addressed, which is in terms
1818
01:47:45.735 --> 01:47:47.975
of arbitration, is the Institute
1819
01:47:47.975 --> 01:47:49.775
of Civil Engineers the right arbitrator
1820
01:47:49.955 --> 01:47:53.655
or should another arbitrator be acting in this capacity?
1821
01:47:54.595 --> 01:47:56.095
Uh, Victoria Haren for the Heart Masters.
```

```
1822
01:47:56.275 --> 01:47:59.375
So we will take that away, uh, noting the qualify
1823
01:48:00.335 --> 01:48:02.615
relevant qualifications and we will come back to you.
1824
01:48:11.965 --> 01:48:14.815
That concludes what we wanted to, to, uh,
1825
01:48:15.275 --> 01:48:17.135
do on protective revisions with you.
1826
01:48:17.915 --> 01:48:20.495
Um, I presume the applicant's got nothing further
1827
01:48:20.635 --> 01:48:22.935
to say on this set of protective provisions.
1828
01:48:25.415 --> 01:48:28.415
I think then it is appropriate that we adjourn, um,
1829
01:48:29.365 --> 01:48:30.655
suggestions for duration.
1830
01:48:31.025 --> 01:48:32.335
We're coming up to 10 to four.
1831
01:48:33.365 --> 01:48:36.935
What, what we are intending to do is to look at
1832
01:48:37.795 --> 01:48:42.015
DFD S'S protective provisions as suggested, um,
1833
01:48:42.695 --> 01:48:44.855
I OT and the Protective
1834
01:48:46.345 --> 01:48:49.815
Protective provisions suggested by dps CLDN.
1835
01:48:50.755 --> 01:48:54.975
```

```
Um, and we'll do, do deal
1836
01:48:54.975 --> 01:48:56.815
with each one in turn.
1837
01:48:57.955 --> 01:49:00.895
Um, the other thing that we were toying with
1838
01:49:01.955 --> 01:49:05.655
was in the same way yesterday on some of the navigational
1839
01:49:06.195 --> 01:49:08.175
issues with I OT
1840
01:49:08.175 --> 01:49:10.815
and the applicant, we said, do you want to go away in a room
1841
01:49:10.815 --> 01:49:12.615
and have a chat?
1842
01:49:13.995 --> 01:49:17.575
It, would there be any merit in US adjourning for longer
1843
01:49:17.715 --> 01:49:21.095
to enable some face-to-face discussions to be held
1844
01:49:21.765 --> 01:49:24.695
between DFDS, applicant, CLDN,
1845
01:49:24.695 --> 01:49:29.295
applicant IOT applicant and then we come back
1846
01:49:29.505 --> 01:49:30.975
after you've had those opportunities
1847
01:49:31.155 --> 01:49:34.335
to separately discuss Mr. Mr. Greenman,
1848
01:49:35.075 --> 01:49:36.075
Uh, thank you. Thank you sir.
```

```
1849
01:49:36.075 --> 01:49:38.535
Uh, Brian Greenwood for, uh, the applicant.
1850
01:49:38.915 --> 01:49:42.295
So just to update, I'm sure, uh, uh, Mr. Walker was going
01:49:42.295 --> 01:49:43.815
to say this in the second, uh,
1852
01:49:43.915 --> 01:49:45.695
the second half of this session.
1853
01:49:46.235 --> 01:49:48.525
Um, Ms. Walker very kindly
1854
01:49:48.765 --> 01:49:51.165
provided some draft protective provisions.
1855
01:49:51.385 --> 01:49:55.165
Um, uh, we did delay in re re responding.
1856
01:49:55.225 --> 01:49:59.045
So Mr. Walker got a revised, uh, set
1857
01:49:59.045 --> 01:50:01.285
of protective provisions only a few days ago,
01:50:01.665 --> 01:50:02.805
and he's one day,
1859
01:50:03.345 --> 01:50:06.405
and Mr. Walker has very kindly said he'll respond, uh,
1860
01:50:07.325 --> 01:50:09.605
tomorrow, uh, Friday.
1861
01:50:10.025 --> 01:50:11.925
Yes. Um, Mr.
1862
01:50:12.245 --> 01:50:15.485
```

```
Ern hasn't had, uh, a set of protective provisions.
1863
01:50:15.795 --> 01:50:19.965
They have actually been, uh, approved, uh, an amended draft
01:50:20.025 --> 01:50:21.445
for me to send to Mr.
1865
01:50:21.765 --> 01:50:23.885
Ern, which he will get during the course
1866
01:50:23.945 --> 01:50:24.965
of, I hope this evening.
1867
01:50:25.505 --> 01:50:28.205
Um, so, so we are dealing with that
1868
01:50:28.305 --> 01:50:31.365
and Mr. Owen will, I'm sure quite rightly say if given the
1869
01:50:31.365 --> 01:50:34.005
opportunity that we haven't to actually discuss them
1870
01:50:34.005 --> 01:50:35.525
with him before now, uh,
01:50:35.785 --> 01:50:40.405
and he's quite correct as far as uh, IOT are concerned, um,
1872
01:50:41.495 --> 01:50:43.125
there is a difference between us.
1873
01:50:43.125 --> 01:50:46.725
So as you all gather, um, uh, we
1874
01:50:46.965 --> 01:50:47.965
provided a draft, uh,
1875
01:50:48.225 --> 01:50:50.685
an alternative draft has been sent back to us.
```

```
1876
01:50:50.745 --> 01:50:53.645
We have not actually responded on that to a certain extent.
1877
01:50:54.095 --> 01:50:56.085
We're being driven by other factors
1878
01:50:56.305 --> 01:50:59.365
and when we know the direction we're going with regard
1879
01:50:59.365 --> 01:51:03.245
to the other factors, we will then be able to sit down
1880
01:51:03.505 --> 01:51:06.565
and talk, I hope constructively about
1881
01:51:06.565 --> 01:51:07.605
the protective provision.
1882
01:51:07.605 --> 01:51:10.445
But I'm not actually sure we're actually there yet, sir,
1883
01:51:15.005 --> 01:51:17.965
I, I hesitate to point out David Alvin prior iot
1884
01:51:17.965 --> 01:51:22.365
that there was agreement on the 28th September to, uh,
1885
01:51:22.495 --> 01:51:26.085
protective provisions in substantially the form in our
1886
01:51:26.085 --> 01:51:27.405
earlier representations.
1887
01:51:28.185 --> 01:51:30.525
As I say, we haven't, as Mr. Green would've said though,
1888
01:51:30.525 --> 01:51:33.005
we haven't had any uh, further response.
1889
01:51:39.985 --> 01:51:41.245
```

```
I'm gonna ask another question then.
1890
01:51:41.815 --> 01:51:44.045
Given, given what we've just heard about protective
01:51:44.045 --> 01:51:48.845
provisions, what merit might there be in us having
1892
01:51:48.965 --> 01:51:50.205
a discussion this afternoon
1893
01:51:50.455 --> 01:51:54.125
after our adjournment, Mr.
1894
01:51:54.185 --> 01:51:55.185
Row
1895
01:51:55.505 --> 01:51:59.845
Robbie, CLDN, uh, so far as CLD N's concerned?
1896
01:51:59.905 --> 01:52:02.605
Uh, I I I'm not sure there would be much merit
1897
01:52:02.605 --> 01:52:06.405
because we, uh, as I said yesterday or the day
01:52:06.405 --> 01:52:09.845
before, we wrote to Mr.
1899
01:52:09.845 --> 01:52:12.965
Greenwood with our submissions in relation
1900
01:52:12.965 --> 01:52:15.365
to protective provisions six weeks ago at deadline
1901
01:52:15.365 --> 01:52:16.605
four on the 9th of October.
1902
01:52:17.185 --> 01:52:20.245
And I'm pleased to hear that we're gonna get a response
```

```
1903
01:52:20.385 --> 01:52:21.485
to tonight to that letter.
1904
01:52:22.345 --> 01:52:25.485
Um, and therefore I think until we've had that
1905
01:52:25.485 --> 01:52:27.725
and considered it with CRDN, uh,
1906
01:52:28.235 --> 01:52:32.125
there's not much I'm gonna be able to say, uh, either to Mr.
1907
01:52:32.125 --> 01:52:34.645
Greenwood and his clients in a meeting this afternoon
1908
01:52:35.545 --> 01:52:38.845
or indeed to you beyond repeating what we set a deadline
1909
01:52:38.845 --> 01:52:40.605
for in our, in our representation.
1910
01:52:40.825 --> 01:52:43.925
So I, I think, um, I appreciate the opportunity
1911
01:52:43.945 --> 01:52:46.285
to have a discussion with you about protective provisions
1912
01:52:46.285 --> 01:52:48.325
and we can answer any questions you may have, of course.
1913
01:52:48.425 --> 01:52:51.245
But, um, in terms of really getting into the nitty gritty,
1914
01:52:51.305 --> 01:52:53.245
it might not be the best use of time.
1915
01:52:57.115 --> 01:53:01.105
Thank you. Angus Orca,
1916
01:53:01.145 --> 01:53:03.105
```

```
d ft s um, same here.
1917
01:53:03.465 --> 01:53:04.625
Although as Mr.
1918
01:53:04.625 --> 01:53:08.625
Greenwood said, he, it will, we do already have the response
1919
01:53:09.205 --> 01:53:11.745
as of nine 30 yesterday morning,
1920
01:53:12.525 --> 01:53:14.905
and I did say as soon as possible, not Friday.
1921
01:53:15.495 --> 01:53:17.625
It's not going to be Friday. That is not possible.
1922
01:53:18.285 --> 01:53:20.745
Um, obviously we've been busy the last two
1923
01:53:20.745 --> 01:53:21.865
days sitting here.
1924
01:53:22.365 --> 01:53:25.785
Um, so I don't think it's, it'll be fruitful to go through.
1925
01:53:25.965 --> 01:53:29.745
So I, I think then what we will do is we will adjourn, um,
1926
01:53:31.575 --> 01:53:34.945
that will at least nale the, the Harbor Masters,
1927
01:53:35.075 --> 01:53:36.185
sorry, Ms. Hutton, sorry,
1928
01:53:36.205 --> 01:53:37.705
Um, Victoria den for the Harbor Master.
1929
01:53:38.605 --> 01:53:41.185
I'm not intending to throw a span in the works, sir, but Oh,
```

```
1930
01:53:41.225 --> 01:53:42.225
Why not?
1931
01:53:43.245 --> 01:53:46.905
We, um, we will put in written representations on IOT's
1932
01:53:46.905 --> 01:53:50.105
protective provisions because there is a proposal, um,
1933
01:53:50.295 --> 01:53:51.465
that works one, two
1934
01:53:51.465 --> 01:53:54.145
and three not be constructed except in accordance
1935
01:53:54.145 --> 01:53:56.745
with such plans as may be approved in writing, but iot
1936
01:53:57.725 --> 01:54:00.185
and uh, clearly there's a risk of conflict
1937
01:54:00.225 --> 01:54:03.185
with the protected provisions for the conservancy
1938
01:54:04.725 --> 01:54:07.545
and uh, we would resist that short point.
1939
01:54:07.855 --> 01:54:11.585
Okay. But yeah, I think, um,
1940
01:54:13.955 --> 01:54:16.905
we'll resuming, 'cause it sounds like we won't be here
1941
01:54:16.925 --> 01:54:18.705
for much longer after the resumption,
1942
01:54:19.245 --> 01:54:23.745
but if we adjourn till quarter past four, um,
1943
01:54:24.925 --> 01:54:27.705
```

```
and then we'll it sounds like we might have a very quick
1944
01:54:27.705 --> 01:54:31.065
sprint through, um, some of the issues
1945
01:54:31.175 --> 01:54:32.545
with protective provisions that
1946
01:54:33.485 --> 01:54:35.105
at least I can put some flags down.
1947
01:54:35.525 --> 01:54:38.945
Um, that might, might help oil, some wheels
1948
01:54:38.945 --> 01:54:41.785
to get things moving where they need possibly to get moving.
1949
01:54:42.525 --> 01:54:45.225
Um, does that, does that sound reasonable
1950
01:54:45.225 --> 01:54:46.545
that we adjourn to quarter plus
1951
01:54:46.545 --> 01:54:49.985
Four, 10 plus four perhaps temps 10 plus four.
1952
01:54:50.925 --> 01:54:55.065
10 plus one, Four. Four? Yep.
1953
01:54:59.055 --> 01:55:02.745
Okay. Ur then to 10 past four. Thank you, sir. Thank you.
```